ED 373 827 JC 940 477

AUTHOR Lucas, J.; Meltesen, C.

TITLE Follow-Up Study of 1991 Harper College Transfer Alumni. Volume XXII, Number 12, January 1994.

INSTITUTION William Rainey Harper Coll., Palatine, Ill. Office of

Planning and Research.

PUB DATE Jan 94 NOTE 63p.

PUB TYPE Statistical Data (110) -- Reports -

Research/Technical (143) -- Tests/Evaluation

Instruments (160)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC03 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Academic Achievement; College Choice; *College

Transfer Students; Community Colleges; Employment Patterns; Followup Studies; Grade Point Average; Graduate Surveys; Higher Education; *Outcomes of Education; *Participant Satisfaction; Questionnaires;

Tue Year Colleges

Two Year Colleges

IDENTIFIERS William Rainey Harper College IL

ABSTRACT

A study conducted at William Rainey Harper College (WRHC) (Illinois) surveyed 1991 transfer-oriented students 1 year after they had accumulated at least 48 semester hours of credit to evaluate how well the students were prepared for transfer. All alumni meeting these criteria (N=904) were surveyed regarding their current status, their evaluation of WRHC, and their activity pattern while at WRHC. Study findings, based on a 74% response rate, including the following: (1) about one-third of the respondents were employed full time and another third were working part time; (2) 57% were enrolled full time in college and 19% were enrolled part time; (3) 23.1% of the alumni did not transfer immediately, but, due to the higher cost of education, remained at WRHC; (4) the most popular alumni major was business, followed by education and engineering; (5) alumni received higher grade point averages at the transfer school; (6) a majority described their WRHC experience as positive and rated WRHC student services higher than those at their new institution; (7) the most popular transfer institutions were Northern Illinois, University of Illinois/Chicago, Illinois State, and Roosevelt; (8) the most beneficial WRHC courses were speech, career planning, English, education, psychology, math and business; (9) the most beneficial outcomes for alumni were communication skills, greater maturity and self-confidence; and (10) the least attained outcomes were managerial skills, and specific job knowledge and skills. (KP)



Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the criginal document.

Office of

ED 373 827

"PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

J. Lucas

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) "

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as acceived from the person or organization originating it
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality
- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy

DESEARCH TO THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

Volume XXII, No. 12 January 1994 Follow-up Study of 1991 Harper College Transfer Alumni

J. Lucas, Director
Office of Planning and Research

C. Melteson, Research Analyst
Office of Planning and Research

ABSTRACT

THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY WAS TO FOLLOW UP 1991 TRANSFER ORIENTED STUDENTS ONE YEAR AFTER THEY HAD ACCUMULATED AT LEAST 48 SEMESTER HOURS OF CREDIT AT HARPER COLLEGE.

ALL ALUMNI MEETING THESE CRITERIA WERE MAILED A SURVEY FORM ASKING ABOUT THEIR CURRENT STATUS, THEIR EVALUATION OF HARPER AND THEIR ACTIVITY PATTERN WHILE AT HARPER.

AFTER FOLLOW-UP PROCEDURES WERE COMPLETED, A 74 PERCENT RESPONSE RATE WAS ATTAINED.

THE COST OF ALUMNI ATTENDING COLLEGE CONTINUES TO INCREASE FASTER THAN THE COST OF LIVING. WITH THE STEADY INCREASE IN THE COST OF EDUCATION, A NUMBER OF THINGS ARE RESULTING. FIRST, ALMOST ALL STUDENTS ARE WORKING WHILE GETTING THEIR EDUCATION. A GOOD NUMBER OF THE ALUMNI ARE REMAINING AT HARPER RATHER THAN IMMEDIATELY TRANSFERRING TO A FOUR-YEAR INSTITUTION.

HARPER ALUMNI CONTINUE TO REPORT SUCCESS WHEN TRANSFERRING TO FOUR-YEAR INSTITUTIONS. THE RESULTS OF THE SURVEY REFLECT IMPROVED GPA AVERAGES AT THE NEW SCHOOL. MOST OF THE ALUMNI STATED THEIR EXPERIENCE AT HARPER WAS POSITIVE, WHICH HELPED PREPARE THEM FOR THE NEW INSTITUTION. THEY RATE HARPER SERVICES BETTER THAN AT THEIR NEW INSTITUTION. HARPER ALUMNI TEND TO TRANSFER TO ONE OF ILLINOIS STATE PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES WITH NORTHERN THE LEADER, BUT THE SECOND LARGEST TRANSFER RATE WAS TO A PRIVATE UNIVERSITY - ROOSEVELT.

AGAIN, MOST OF OUR ALUMNI TRANSFER INTO BUSINESS MAJORS. EDUCATION HAS REPLACED ENGINEERING AS THE SECOND MOST FREQUENT MAJOR. THE MOST BENEFICIAL COURSES AT HARPER CONTINUE TO BE SPEECH, CAREER PLANNING, ENGLISH, EDUCATION, PSYCHOLOGY, MATH AND BUSINESS. THE MOST BENEFICIAL OUTCOMES FOR ALUMNI CONTINUE TO BE COMMUNICATION SKILLS, BROADER HORIZONS AND GREATER MATURITY AND SELF CONFIDENCE. THE LEAST ATTAINED OUTCOMES WERE MANAGERIAL SKILLS AND SPECIFIC JOB KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | <u>Page</u> |
|------|--|--|
| I. | Purpose | 1 |
| II. | Population Surveyed | 1 |
| III. | Method Used | 1 |
| τv. | Discussion of Results | 1-3 |
| v. | Major Conclusions | _ |
| | | 3-4 |
| ·VI. | | • |
| ·VI. | Detailed Results a. Major Field While at Harper b. Present Status. c. Educational Goals d. Graduation from Harper. e. Reasons for Not Getting Degree. f. EMPLOYMENT - Classification of Present Occupation g. Level of Employment h. Reason Harper Alumni Work Part Time - 1991 i. Relatedness of Job to Major at Harper j. Annual Salary Range k. Areas that Benefited Students while at Harper College l. Method of Finding a Job m. Geographic Location of Jobs n. EDUCATION - College or University Enrolled in o. Other Colleges or Universities Enrolled in by 1991 Transfer Alumni p. Class Standing. q. Present Major Field r. Relatedness of Major at Present College to Major at Harper. s. Transferability of Courses s. Reasons Provided for Courses not Transferable u. Grade Performance of Harper Alumni at Institutions They Are Now Attending Compared to Performance at Harper v. Grade Performace While at Harper College. w. Annual Cost x. Cost of Present College - Part-Time Students. y. Cost of Present College - Part-Time Students. z. Honors Programs Participated in at Present College. aa. Performance of Harper Students at Other Institutions. bb. Average of Past Eight Studies c. Experiences at Harper Which Aided/or Made Difficult the Transfer. dd. EVALUATION SECTION - Areas of Harper as Rated by Students e. Ratings of College Areas as Best/Equal/Better ff. Courses by Rank Order of Their Net Benefit to the Alumni gg. Additional Courses Which Would Have Benefited Student if They had been Included in His/Her Curriculum hh. Summary of Types of Courses Which Would Have Benefited Former Students Had They Taken Them at Harper. ii. Learning Resource Center Evaluation 1- Ways in Which Students had Trouble Getting Needed Material 2- Other Comments About LRC jj Usage of Computers by Transfer Alumni 1- Use of Computers by Transfer Alumni 1- Use of Computer Sources Which Would Have Benefited Students | 5 5 6 6 6 7 7 8 8 9 9 10-11 11 12 13 13 13 14 14 15 15 16 17-19 19 20-22 22-23 23-24 24 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 |
| | 2- Location Where Micro or Terminals Used | 26 26-27 27 27-28 28-29 |
| | 7- Why Use of Computer Has NOT Helped Alumni | |



-4 ·

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

| kk. | Change in Education or Vocational Goals | 30 |
|------|--|-------|
| 11. | Services Used in Making Changes | 31 |
| mm . | Evaluation of Academic Advising at Harper | 31 |
| | 1- Use of Academic Services - 1989/1991 Percentages | 31 |
| | 2- Degree of Helpfulness Received from Counselor - 1989/1991 | 31 |
| | 3- Those That Served as Advisor - 1989/1991 | 31 |
| | 4- Ways in Which Advising Was Helpful | 32 |
| | 5- Ways in Which Advising Was Not Helpful | 33 |
| | 6- Use of Financial Aid/Veteran's Benefits - 1989/1991 | 33 |
| | 7- Those That Applied for F A/V A - 1989/1991 | 33 |
| | 8- Satisfaction with Services Received F A/V A Benefits | 33 |
| nn. | Student Activities by Rank Order of Their Net Benefit to Alumni | 34 |
| 00. | Other Activities Which Were of Most Benefit | 35 |
| pp. | HARPER EXPERIENCE - Hours Worked Per Week While Attending Harper | 35 |
| qq. | Relation of Work to Major Field at Harper College | 35 |
| rr. | Hours Spent Per Week in Extracurricular Activity | 35 |
| ss. | Hours Spent Per Week Informally in Student Center | 36 |
| tt. | Student View of Harper College - 1989/1991 | 36 |
| uu. | Other Comments | 36-40 |
| vv. | Comments When Asked What Students Would Like to See Changed | 40-42 |
| ww. | Data Section Comparing Alumni Leaving Harper to Alumni | |
| | Remaining at Harper for a Third Year | 42-47 |

VII. Appendix

- Survey Instrument with Cover Letter



PURPOSE

The purpose of this study was to follow up all 1991 transfer oriented students one year after they had accumulated at least 48 semester hours of credit at Harper College. This research project was designed to help evaluate how well Harper prepares its students to transfer into baccalaureate degree programs at a number of colleges and universities.

POPULATION SURVEYED

All 904 transfer oriented students who reached 48 or more semester hours during the 1988-1989 academic year were surveyed. After follow-up procedures were completed, the return rates achieved were:

| | Number | <u>Percent</u> |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Mail Responses | 269 | 29.8 |
| Responses Received Over the Phone | 399 | 44.1 |
| Total Number of Responses | 668 | 73.9 |
| Refused to Answer | 10 | 1.1 |
| Non-Forwardable | 37 | 4.1 |
| Deceased | 1 | .1 |
| Could not be Reached by Phone | <u> 188</u> | <u>20.8</u> |
| Total | 904 | 100.0 |

METHOD USED

The survey form, shown in the appendix, was developed by the Office of Planning and Research in 1969 and modified over the years using input from vice presidents, deans, and directors. This instrument requests students' current status, evaluation of Harper, and activity pattern while at Harper. This instrument and the cover etter were mailed to the target population one year after they had accumulated 48 hours at Harper. Three weeks later, a second mailing was sent to the non-respondents. After another three weeks, those still not responding were surveyed over the telephone. This study was conducted every year in the early history of Harper, but since 1975 it has been conducted every other year. Data from this 1991 alumni study is compared with data from the past surveys.

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The status of alumni has remained fairly stable over the years. About a third are employed full time and another third are employed part time. Some 57 percent are enrolled full time in college and another 19 percent are enrolled part time. Only 1/2 percent are unemployed and seeking employment while only 2-1/2 percent are unemployed and not enrolled in college by choice.

Most of our transferring elumni had business majors while at Harper which was comparable to past years. The other largest category reflected a humanities and liberal arts major. The other majors remained close to those reflected in past studies. About one in five are undecided when they leave Harper. About 35 percent of our alumni did not get a degree mostly because they had not met the requirements or were transferring. Most of the students were employed in the business or finance area, others were involved in office or clerical and sales. Twenty-nine percent of Harper alumni were employed in positions that are classified as beginning professionals. There was a noticeable increase in the number of alumni employed in semiskilled labor (40%) but a decrease in unskilled labor jobs. The upward trend of increased salaries continued in that the average salary of alumni rose to \$23,000. This average salary is 58 percent higher than those earned by the 1979 alumni. Most of the alumni found their jobs on their own, or through family and friends. The jobs are generally in the northwest suburbs (77%) while other jobs were mainly in other Chicago suburbs. The 35 percent who were employed part time were doing so because they were attending college or were in some other temporary transitional stage.



Similar to previous surveys, alumni stated areas that benefited them most by attending Harper were in the communication areas - verbal, person to person, and written and in broadening their horizons and in maturity and self confidence. The least beneficial areas again were specific job knowledge, technical job skills, and managerial skills.

Most of Harper transferring alumni (60.5%) went to one of the public state universities in Illinois. The most prevalent school transferred to was Northern Illinois University. The most popular private school alumni transferred to was Roosevelt. In fact, Roosevelt was the fourth most popular school following University of Illinois/Chicago and Illinois State. This is the first time a private school ranked second in popularity for transfer students. Almost one-fourth of the alumni continuing their education remained at Harper College.

Consistent with past alumni, business was the most popular major at their new institution. Education has replaced engineering as the second largest major at the new school. In general, most alumni continued their Harper major at the new institution. The alumni found in most situations that their courses were easily transferred to their new school. In fact, 50.4 percent of them stated all their courses transferred. Of the alumni who found that courses did not transfer, one-third of them stated they did not investigate carefully enough the *ransfer requirements. Another 16 percent stated they were given misleading information and 15 percent changed majors. Again, Harper's alumni have fared well at the new institutions as 59 percent of them reported earning a GPA of 3.0 or better. Only 1-1/2 percent said they had a GPA of under 2.0. Only 40 percent of these same alumni earned a GPA of 3.0 or better while at Harper and their average GPA increased from 2.90 to 3.00 at the new institutions.

When comparing the performance of the transferring alumni at Illinois public universities with their Harper performance, the Harper alumni improved or maintained their GPA at every new institution with the exception of the University of Illinois at Champaign. For the period of the past eight studies, the cumulative statistics reflect the same pattern. The alumni which transfer to the University of Illinois at Champaign generally have better Harper GPA's than those that transfer to other schools.

The annual cost for the alumni attending school has steadily increased. The average cost per year reported by this survey was \$6,171, 19 percent above the \$5,204 reported in the last survey. This represents an increase of \$3,200 over that reported by the 1979 alumni. The transfer students which are enrolled full time at their new institution reported their average cost as \$7,298 compared to \$1,609 by those remaining full time Harper students. Close to 70 percent of the full-time transfer students said the cost of their education was in the \$5,000-\$10,000 range, whereas, 72 percent of those remaining at Harper full time reported their cost at \$1,500 or less. The continued increase in annual cost could explain the number of alumni remaining at Harper to attain their degree instead of or before transferring to a four-year school.

The vast majority of alumni stated their experiences at Harper were positive. The average rating of 3 aspects of Harper life was rated as better than very good, 6 aspects were rated as very good and 20 aspects were rated somewhere between very good and average. When comparing aspects of Harper with the same aspects at their new college, 18 areas were rated as better at Harper, 7 areas were rated about the same and 4 areas were rated better at their new college.

The alumni were surveyed to determine which were the most beneficial courses taken at Harper. Again Speech courses at Harper received the most favorable responses compared to negative responses. Other courses rated as highly beneficial were Career Planning, Psychology, Education, Business, Mathematics, and Social Science Courses. The alumni suggested they could have benefited from additional Vocational, Math and Social Science Courses.



The survey reflected a favorable assessment of the Learning Resources Center. Less than 8 percent had negative experiences; however, consistent with the past, over a fourth of the alumni seldom used the LRC while at Harper College.

The alumni were questioned on their use of micro or terminal computers at Harper. Over 60 percent of the alumni used computers at one time or another. Not surprising, the most common courses involving the student use of computers were computer information systems and math/statistical courses. More than 60 percent of the alumni claimed using the computers helped them in their present situation, whereas 22 percent currently do not use computers. The prevalent problem in using the computers at Harper focused on the labs being too crowded or not enough machines available although only 10 percent mentioned any problems. When the alumni was asked how the use of computers have benefited them, they mostly responded by saying they can better use the computers on their jobs. Others said it gave them more confidence of computers and provided a clearer understanding of how they function. Many said they now use computers at their new school.

Over 50 percent of our alumni never made one single change in their educational or vocational goals while attending Harper. This percentage is consistent with our findings from the other surveys taken during the 1980's. Almost half who made some change did so as the result of discussions they had with counseling services. Another 29 percent of those that made changes were based upon their conversations with friends or family. Almost a fourth talked with faculty and another fourth were influenced by courses. Most of the alumni utilized academic advising services (75%) and about 87 percent of them stated the advice received was useful. Student Development advising was the common advising used by the alumni.

A high percentage of our alumni either did not qualify or require financial aid (83%). Although three fourths were satisfied with the services they received. Of those that asked for aid or veteran's benefits, 43 percent were turned down. There has been a continuing trend toward alumni working over 30 hours per week. The survey reported 30 percent of students were working over 30 hours. The number of alumni who worked over 30 hours per week has climbed steadily since 1979. The average hours worked per week remains at a high at 23 hours. With the increasing cost of education, there evidently is a corresponding need to work while attending Harper to pay for future education. Half of the alumni who worked while at Harper worked at jobs that were not related to their major Involvement in student activities has remained fairly constant field while at Harper. since 1983. About a fourth of the alumni participated and the average time alumni spent in extra curricular activities amounted to about 1-1/2 hours per week. The time alumni spend in informal hours on campus has dropped in the last two surveys from over 3 hours per week (in 1987 and before) to about 2-1/2 hours per week for the 1989 and 1991 groups. Over 95 percent of the alumni say they would recommend Harper College to friends.

Most of the alumni provided favorable comments about Harper. Their overall experience was beneficial, with many fine comments about the faculty. Most considered Harper being very valuable as a stepping stone to a four-year college. Most of the comments concerning improvements center around making Harper a four-year college, improving the parking and connecting the buildings.

MAJOR CONCLUSIONS

The cost of alumni attending college continues to increase faster than the cost of living. With the steady increase in the cost of education, a number of things are resulting. First, almost all students are working while getting their education. A good number of the alumni are remaining at Harper rather than immediately transferring to a four-year institution.



AAJOR CONCLUSIONS (continued)

Harper alumni continue to report success when transferring to four-year institutions. The results of the survey reflect improved GPA averages at the new school. Most of the alumni stated their experience at Harper was positive, which helped prepare them for the new institution. They rate Harper services better than at their new institution. Harper's alumni tend to transfer to one of the state public universities in Illinois with Northern the leader, but the second largest transfer rate was to a private university - Roosevelt.

Again, most of our alumni transfer into business majors. Education has replaced engineering as the second most frequent major.

The most beneficial courses at Harper continue to be Speech, Career Planning, English, Education, Psychology, Math and Business. The most beneficial outcomes for alumni continue to be communication skills, broader horizons and greater maturity and self confidence. The least attained outcomes were managerial skills, and specific job knowledge and skills.



9

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Major Field While At Harper

| | <u> 1979</u> | <u> 1981</u> | <u>1983</u> | <u>1985</u> | <u> 1987</u> | <u>1989</u> | <u>1991</u> |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Business | 31.9 | 33.8 | 32.1 | 33.7 | 35.8 | 36.7 | 25.2 |
| Undecided | | | | | | | 19.8 |
| Humanities and Liberal Arts | 23.3 | 25.2 | 22.2 | 21.1 | 23.1 | 16.6 | 13.7 |
| Education | 2.2 | 2.5 | 6.2 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 7.0 | 8.9 |
| Engineering | 9.9 | 8.3 | 9.4 | 10.9 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 6.1 |
| Psychology | 1.5 | 2.0 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 4.0 4.4 | 5.8 3.9 | 5.6 4.4 |
| Biological Sciences | 9.0 | 6.4 | 5.5 | 7.6 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 3.7 |
| Art | 5.9 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 2.7 |
| Other Social Sciences | 2.5 | 3.8 | 2.5 1.8 | 1.5 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| Mathematics | 2.0 3.1 | .8 2.3 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.3 | 1.9 |
| Premedical Field | .6 | .6 | 1.2 | .8 | . 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| Communications | 1.1 | .7 | .4 | .2 | . 4 | .2 | 1.3 |
| Pre-Law | 2.9 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 1.2 |
| Physical Science Physical Ed/Recreation | .3 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 1.3 | .9 | 1.4 | .9 |
| Architecture | ,9 | .1 | .1 | . 2 | . 4 | 2.1 | . 4 |
| Music | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.5 | 1.9 | ,3 |
| nusic | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 324 | 683 | 679 | 474 | 556 | 564 | 667 |
| Present Status | 1070 | 1001 | 1002 | <u> 1985</u> | <u> 1987</u> | <u> 1989</u> | <u> 1991</u> |
| nort and no see and the | <u>1979</u> 10.2 | <u>1981</u> 18.2 | <u>1983</u> 20.1 | 28.3 | $\frac{1207}{21.6}$ | 16.9 | 18.0 |
| Employed FT, not enrolled | 10.2 | 10.2 | 2011 | 20.5 | | 1017 | 20.0 |
| in college | 15.4 | 9.4 | 7.1 | 10.5 | 14.3 | 15.3 | 9.9 |
| Employed FT, enrolled in college part time | 13.4 | 7.4 | . , | 2010 | _,,,, | | |
| Employed FT, enrolled in | 4.0 | 3.1 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 4.8 | 5.4 |
| college full time | 410 | 0.1 | | | | | |
| Enrolled in college FT, | 20.0 | 18.9 | 17.8 | 17.2 | 24.3 | 28.4 | 24.7 |
| employed part time | | | | | | • | |
| Enrolled in college FT, | 34.3 | 33.4 | 39.8 | 29.1 | 28.1 | 21.7 | 26.8 |
| not employed | | | | | | | |
| Enrolled in college PT, | 5.3 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 6.6 |
| employed part time | | | | | | | |
| Employed PT, not enrolled | 2.8 | 6.4 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 3.3 |
| in college | | | | | | | |
| Enrolled PT, not employed | 5.6 | 2.9 | 2.4 | 1.0 | . 5 | 2.8 | 2.4 |
| Armed Forces | 0 | . 4 | . 1 | 0 | .1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unemployed, not enrolled but seeking employment | .6 | 1.9 | .9 | 2.1 | .5 | . 2 | ۰,5 |
| Homemaker | .6 | .6 | .7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.2 | . 4 |
| Unemployed or not enrolled, | 1.2 | . 6 | . 4 | 1.5 | 5 | 1.8 | 2.0 |
| other situations | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 324 | 683 | 681 | 477 | 630 | 567 | 667 |

| Educational Goals | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | <u>1979</u> | <u>1981</u> | <u>1983</u> | <u>1985</u> | <u>1987</u> | 1989 55.2 | <u>1991</u> 57.3 |
| Presently enrolled FT in college | 58.3 | 55.4 | 59.5 | 48.4 15.1 | 55.7 18.5 | 55.2 20.3 | 37.3 18.5 |
| Presently enrolled PT in college | 26.2 5.3 | 15.8 13.6 | 14.7 11.5 | 9.7 | 8.8 | 10.7 | 9.9 |
| Plan to return to college next year | | | | | | | |
| Plan to return to college some day | 4.3 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 13.7 | 10.2 | 6.9 | 7.8 |
| Enrolled now in specific | .3 | .8 | . 4 | . 4 | . 2 | 1.1 | .8 |
| training program | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 6.7 | 3.7 | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| Have no plans at present to con- tinue education | 2.5 | 1.9 | 3.8 | | | | |
| Educational plans unknown | 3.1 | <u>6.0</u> | 4.7 | 6.0 | 2,9 | 3.8 | 4.3 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 625 | 100.0 551 | 1.00 · 0 666 |
| Number of Responses | 324 | 682 | 681 | 634 | 623 | 221 | 000 |
| Graduation from Harper | | | | | | | |
| Associate in Applied Science | 5.9 | 1.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | .8 | .7 | 1.1 |
| Associate in Science | 19.2 | 23.6 | 19.4 | 19.5 | 15.1 | 13.1 | 10.4 |
| Associate in Arts | 41.8 | 29.5 | 31.4 | 41.0 | 47.7 | 47.3 | 50.7 |
| Associate in Liberal Studies | .3 | 0 | .3 | . 2 | .3 | 0 | 0 |
| Combination of Degrees | 2.8 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 1.1 |
| Certificate | .6 | .9 | .3 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.7 |
| No Degree or Certificate | 29.4 | 42.6 | 44.5 | 32.3 | <u>33,6</u> | <u>34.8</u> | $\frac{35.0}{100.0}$ |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 642 | 100.0 566 | 662 |
| Number of Responses | 323 | 682 | 681 | 476 | 042 | 300 | 002 |
| Reasons For Not Getting Degree | | | | | 24.2 | 05.0 | 26.4 |
| Preferred transferring to | 31.6 | 24.1 | 35.8 | 35.7 | 34.3 | 25.9 | 36.4 |
| another college | | | 10.0 | 7.5 | 10.7 | 1/ 0 | 22 6 |
| Still enrolled at Harper | 37.9 | 15.7 | 10.9 | 7.5 | 19.7 | 14.0 | 22.6 20.7 |
| Did not meet all the require- ments yet | 7.4 | 37.5 | 39.9 | 36.7 | 22.7 | 34.4 | |
| Had taken all courses I | 3.1 | 4.1 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 6.9 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| originally wanted to take | | | _ | _ | _ | | |
| Did not bother to petition | | | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 3.5 |
| Job Demand | 0 | .7 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 3.4 2.2 |
| Did not want degree | 5.2 | 8.9 | 4.9 | 8.8 | 4.2 | 4.8 1.6 | 1.3 |
| Harper offered no further courses I wanted | 1.1 | 1.7 | .5 | 1.3 | .8 | | |
| Educational goals were not defined | 1.0 | 2.5 | .7 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 4.5 | 1.2 |
| Saw no relationship between courses and my personal, occupational | 1.1 | .3 | .3 | .3 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| or educational goals | 20 | * | _ | _ | ^ | ^ | ^ |
| GPA was too low | 0 | 0 | 0 | .6 | 0 | 0 | .9 .7 |
| Moved | 0 | .9 | .7 | 1 6 | .1 1.5 | 2.4 .3 | .6 |
| Personal problems | 2.1 | .5 | .3 | 1.6 .7 | 1.5 | .3 | .6 |
| New job opportunity | 0 | .3 | 1.5 | . / | .9 | .3 | .4 |
| Transportation Problems | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .4 |
| Courses needed not offered at convenient times | _ | | | | | _ | |
| Family responsibilities | 0 | .7 | .7 | 1.6 | .9 | 2.6 | . 2 |
| Left Harper without transferring | 9.5 | 1.7 | .3 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 |
| to another institution or speci- | | | | | | | |
| fying why they did not complete | | | | | | | |
| a degree at Harper | 0 | 1. | ^ | ٨ | 0 | n | n |
| Problem in financing further | | 4 | | | <u>V</u> | | |
| education Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| IUCAI | 100.0 | 10010 | _ | | | | |
| | | | 11 | | | | |
| EDIC | | _ 6 _ | | | | | |

EMPLOYMENT

Classification of Present Occupation

| | 1979 | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Business or Finance | 20.7 | 12.1 | 16.9 | 14.6 | 17.5 | 16.5 | 20.3 |
| Office or Clerical | 14.0 | 11.5 | 9.9 | 15.3 | 13.0 | 16.1 | 13.1 |
| Sales | 6.2 | 7.1 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 10.2 | 11.3 |
| Food Service | 7.8 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 7.8 | 7.7 | 6.1 | 9.0 |
| Retail Stores | 14.9 | 13.4 | 9.9 | 10.0 | 10.2 | 7.9 | 6.1 |
| Educational | 5.0 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 3.4 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 5.9 |
| Unskilled/Custodial | | 5.3 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 7.3 | 6.1 | 5.6 |
| Medical Fields | 6.2 . | 4.4 | 4.7 | 7.6 | 5.6 | 3.7 | 5.4 |
| Trades | 9.1 | 4.3 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.8 | 5.1 | 5.0 |
| Engineering or Related Technology | 3.3 | 4.3 | 3.2 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 4.3 |
| Factory/Semiskilled | 3.3 | 7.3 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 3.2 |
| Computer Field | | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 2.3 |
| Legal, Governmental or Political | 3.3 | 1.7 | 2.4 | .8 | .9 | 2.0 | 2.3 |
| Police/Fire/Security | | 1.5 | 2.6 | . 6 | 8 | 1.0 | 1.6 |
| Transportation | 0 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.3 | . 3 | 2.4 | 1.3 |
| Social or Religious | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Communication or Entertainment | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 3.3 | .8 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Agricultural or Environmental | . 4 | .5 | 1.6 | .5 | . 3 | . 7 | . 5 |
| Scientific | 2.5 | .9 | .5 | .8 | .5 | 1.1 | . 2 |
| Art or Humanities | . 4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.5 | .6 | 1.0 | . 2 |
| 0ther | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1.1 | 1.1 | 0 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 121 | 399 | 379 | 308 | 429 | 410 | 443 |
| | | | | | | | |

Level of Employment

| Status <u>Rating</u> | Status Description | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Unskilled labor | 13.5 | 11.7 | 7.4 | 6.3 | 14.0 | 7.6 | 8.5 |
| 2 | Semiskilled labor | 25.4 | 33.2 | 29.1 | 25.5 | 25.7 | 33.5 | 40.3 |
| 3 | Technical/Skilled labor, foreman | 17.8 | 17.9 | 16.7 | 15.9 | . 15.7 | 16.6 | 13.9 |
| 4 | Beginning Professional | 31.9 | 29.9 | 35.7 | 42.2 | 34.1 | 33.2 | 28.5 |
| 5 | Experienced professional second line supervision | 10.3 | 7.0 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.1 |
| 6 | Researcher/Middle Management | .6 | .3 | .5 | . 7 | .5 | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| 7 | Vice President Level or Higher | <u>.5</u> 100.0 | $\frac{0}{100.0}$ | <u>.5</u> 100.0 | $\frac{\cdot .3}{100.0}$ | $\frac{2.5}{100.0}$ | $\frac{0}{100.0}$ | 100.0 |
| | Number of Responses Mean Status Rating | 185 3.04 | 394 2.88 | 378 3.16 | 302 3.26 | 422 3.07 | 397 3.04 | 424 2.91 |

Reason Harper Alumni Work Part Time - 1991

| 8.8 |
|-------------|
| 2.2 |
| |
| 1.8 |
| <u>87.2</u> |
| 100.0 |
| 226 |
| |

ERIC*

12

| elatedi | ness of Job to Major at Degree of | <u>Harper</u> | | | | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| Index | Relatedness | <u>1979</u> | 1981 | <u>1983</u> | 1985 | <u> 1987</u> | 1989 | <u> 1991</u> |
| 3 | Identical | 13.9 | 7.7 | 10.8 | 14.6 | 10.5 | 8.9 | 9.3 |
| 2 | Clos ly Related | 22.5 | 16.5 | 15.8 | 19.2 | 17.7 | 18.3 | 15.2 |
| 1 | Somewhat Related | 20.8 | 23.0 | 17.4 | 26.3 | 10.8 | 19.0 | 23.9 |
| 0 | Not Related | 42.8 | 52.8 | <u>56.0</u> | 39.9 | 51.0 | 53.8 | 51.6 |
| | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| N1 | umber of Responses | 182 | 405 | 379 | 308 | 433 | 405 | 440 |
| · M | ean Relatedness Index | 1.07 | . 79 | . 82 | 1.08 | .88 | .82 | .82 |
| Annual : | Salary Range | | | | | | | |
| Under \$ | • | 1. 2 | .6 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 3.8 | .6 |
| • | - \$ 8,999 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 1.5 | 2.7} | 2.7} | 1.1 |
| | - \$ 9,999 | 15.5 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 1.3} | . } | |
| | - \$11,999 | 19.0 | 13.3 | 14.1 | 12.9 | 8.0} | 18.9} | 11.7 |
| | - \$14,999 | 15.5 | 25.0 | 18.2 | 18.2 | 15.9} | } | |
| | - \$19,999 | 15.0 | 28.9 | 25.9 | 25.7 | 25.7 | 22.2 | 37.2 |
| | - \$24,999 | 7.1 | 13.9 | 17.7 | 23.5 | 20.8 | 24.3 | 21.7 |
| • | - \$ 29,999 | 6.0 | 3.9 | 9.4 | 7.6 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 10.5 |
| | - \$39,999 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 3.0 | 10.2 | 11.4 | 11.7 |
| \$40,000 | · - \$ 49 , 999 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 1.5 | .9 | 3.8 | 2.2 |
| \$50,000 | - \$59,999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 2.2 |
| \$60,000 | and over | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1.1 |
| | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | umber of Responses | 84 | 180 | 170 | 132 | 226 | 185 | 180 |
| M | lean Salary | \$14497 | \$16881 | \$17588 | \$18062 | \$20765 | \$21676 | \$22906 |

Areas That Benefited Students While at Harper College

Median Salary

| | 1987 | | 198 | 9 | 1991 | | |
|--|--------|-------|--------|-------|---------------|-------|--|
| | | Mean | | Mean | | Mean | |
| | Number | Index | Number | Index | <u>Number</u> | Index | |
| Broadened Horizons | 144 | 1.15 | 139 | 1.27 | 161 | 1.32 | |
| Verbal Communication Skills | 146 | 1.25 | 142 | 1.23 | 165 | 1.32 | |
| Maturity and Self Confidence | 143 | 1.19 | 142 | 1.15 | 164 | 1.24 | |
| Written Communication Skills | 146 | 1.16 | 142 | 1.19 | 162 | 1.24 | |
| Person-to-Person Communi- cation Skills | 142 | 1.20 | 140 | 1.16 | 166 | 1.22 | |
| Managerial Skills | 139 | . 76 | 136 | .75 | 156 | . 67 | |
| Specific Job Knowledge | 145 | .59 | 142 | .65 | 159 | .65 | |
| ľechnical Job Skills | 143 | .52 | 137 | .54 | 157 | .52 | |

Index: 2 = Harper helped considerably

1 = Harper helped somewhat

0 = Harper did not help at all



\$12923 \$15288 \$16477 \$17132 \$19008 \$20444 \$19925



| Method of Finding a Job | | <u> 1981</u> | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
|--|-------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Found my job all on my own | | 38.2 | 27.5 | 29.4 | 21.2 | 24.9 | 28.7 |
| family, relative, or friend | helped 4e | 25.4 | 23.9 | 31.4 | 31.6 | 35.7 | 25.7 |
| Newspaper | | 12.0 | 11.2 | 16.4 | 19.8 | 15.9 | 16.0 |
| Working on same job which I | | 12.5 | 20.2 | 10.3 | 7.7 | 8.6 | 14.4 |
| before enrolling at Harpe | | • | * | _ | | | |
| Harper Placement office hel Another college helped me | pea me | 0 .8 | .6 | .5 | 5.2 | 4.3 | 4.7 |
| Employment agency | | 4.4 | 1.1 2.5 | 2.3 3.7 | 1.9 3.3 | . 4 3 . 4 | 2.8 |
| Temporary employment service | `e | 0 | 0 | .3 | .7 | 1.2 | 2.2 1.9 |
| Employer approached student | | 0 | 0 | .6 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.3 |
| Faculty member helped me | | .8 | 3.4 | .5 .5 | 1.2 | 2.J | 1.1 |
| Professional Association | | | | | . 2 | 0 | .6 |
| Coordinator of my program | | 1.3 | 0 | .1.3 | . 2 | .7 | .4 |
| Internship program at Harpe | r | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 2 | .5 | . 2 |
| Self-Employed/Family Busine | ess | | | | 3.3 | 0 | 0 |
| State Job Service | | 2.6 | 5.1 | 2.0 | .8 | 0 | 0 |
| Other college staff or offi | ices helped | .8 | 1.7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Armed Services | | 0 | 0 | .3 | | 0 | 0 |
| Public library, park distri | | .8 | 1.7 | .3 | | 0 | - |
| theater, high school, chu Employer referral | ren | 0 | • • | 0 | | • | |
| Employer referrat | | $\frac{.2}{100.0}$ | $\frac{1.1}{100.0}$ | $\frac{0}{100.0}$ | 100.0 | <u>0</u> 100.0 | $\frac{0}{100.0}$ |
| | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | | 388 | 178 | 306 | 425 | 402 | 464 |
| Geographic Location of Jobs | ì | | | | | | |
| | Distance | | | | | | |
| Job Location | Index | 1981 | <u> 1983</u> | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Downtown | 2 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 8.0 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| Outer fringes of Chicago | 1 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 1.6 |
| Northwest Subunba | • | 70.7 | 70.0 | 70.1 | 66.0 | 76 7 | 77 7 |
| Northwest Suburbs | 0 | 72.7 | 78.8 | 70.1 | 66.8 | 75.7 | 77.3 |
| Other Chicago Area Suburbs | 1 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 7.3 | 17.2 | 11.7 | 13.0 |
| Within 40-100 miles of Har | per 3 | 5.6 | 2.1 | 7.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| 100-500 miles away | 4 | 3.4 | 1.3 | 2.6 | 3.1 | 1.7 | 1.6 |
| · | _ | | | | | | |
| More than 500 miles away (1989 - Outside Illinois) | 5 | 1.5 | 3.2 | -1.5 | 3.9 | 1.5 | <u>2.5*</u> |
| , | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | | 405 | 379 | 308 | 434 | 405 | 445 |

.59

.46

.66

,70

.45

.43



Mean Distance Index

^{*} Three in Texas - Others: One each in Ohio, Arizona, Michigan, Indiana, Wisconsin Nebraska, Maryland and Florida

EDUCATION INFORMATION

College or University Enrolled In

| | All Alumni Enrolled in College | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------|-------|--------------|
| | 1979 | 1981 | <u>1983</u> | <u>1985</u> | 1987 | 1989 | <u> 1991</u> |
| Harper | 41.3 | 22.1 | 22.6 | 14.0 | 20.3 | 22.2 | 23.1 |
| Northern Illinois | 14.8 | 21.3 | 15.4 | 15.7 | 16.6 | 22.0 | 20.3 |
| University of Illinois/Chicago | 3.3 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 10.0 | .5.0 | 4.9 | 7.0 |
| Illinois State | 5.9 | 6.1 | 7.1 | 5.3 | 8.2 | 4.7 | 6.6 |
| Roosevelt | 1.8 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 6.8 | 6.0 | 8.4 | 6.0 |
| Northeastern Illinois | 1.5 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 3.7 | 4.4 | 5.2 |
| Eastern Illinois | 4.4 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 4.6 |
| Other Colleges | 8.5 | 9.6 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 8.7 | 6.3 | 4,4 |
| University of Illinois/Champaign | 4.4 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| DePaul | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 4.6 | 3.7 | 3.0 |
| Elmhurst | 1.5 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.8 |
| Loyola | 1.9 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 3,5 | 2.2 |
| Western Illinois | 1.5 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 2.0 | .9 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| Southern Illinois | 3.7 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 1.6 |
| Columbia College | 0 | .6 | .6 | .3 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.2 |
| University of Wisconsin, Milwauke | | 0 | 0 | 0_ | 0 | 0 | . 8 |
| University of Iowa | . 4 | .6 | 1.2 | . 7 | .7 | . 5 | .6 |
| Illinois Benedictine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | . 2 | .6 |
| Art Institute of Chicago | .3 | . 2 | 0 | . 7 | . 4 | 0 | .6 |
| Sangamon State | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 0 | . 6 |
| Ray Vogue College of Design | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 0 | .5 | .5 | . 4 |
| [IT | 0 | ٠6 | 0 | 0 | .7 | . 2 | , 4 |
| University of Arizona | . 4 | 0 | . 2 | 0 | . 4 | . 2 | . 4 |
| Bradley | .7 | .8 | 1.6 | .7_ | . 7 | 0 | . 4 |
| University of Wisconsin/Madison | 1.5 | 1.0 | . 4 | . 7 | . 7 | 0 | . 4 |
| Barat College | 0 | 0 | .6 | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | . 4 |
| National Louis University | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 3 | 0 | 0 | . 4 |
| Arizona State University | .7 | .9 | 1.0 | .3 | .7 | .7 | . 2 |
| Western Michigan | 0 | . 2 | .6 | 0 | 0 | .7 | . 2 |
| [riton | . 4 | 0 | . 2 | 1.0 | . 2 | . 2 | . 2 |
| Trinity College | 0 | .8 | . 2 | .3 | .1 | 0 | . 2 |
| 0akton | 0 | 0 | 0 | .7 | . 2 | .5 | 0 |
| College of Dupage | 0 | .6 | 0 | 0 | .1 | .5 | 0 |
| Lake Forest College | 0 | 0 | . 8. | .3 | 0 | .5 | 0 |
| University of Wisconsin/Whitewate | er 0 | . 2 | . 2 | . 7 | . 2 | . 2 | 0 |
| National College of Education | 0 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 271 | 489 | 504 | 300 | 463 | 427 | 499 |



EDUCATION INFORMATION (continued)

College or University Enrolled In

| WITCHE OF VMITCEDILY AND VIICE IN | Only Alumni Who Left Harper College | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|
| | 1979 | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | <u>1987</u> | 1989 | 1991 |
| Northern Illinois | 25.2 | 27.3 | 20.0 | 18.2 | 20.9 | 28.3 | 26.3 |
| University of Illinois/Chicago | 5.6 | 5.2 | 7.2 | 11.6 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 9.1 |
| Illinois State | 10.1 | 7.9 | 9.2 | 6.2 | 10.3 | 6.0 | 8.6 |
| Roosevelt | 3.1 | 6.3 | 4.4 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 10.8 | 7.8 |
| Northeastern Illinois | 2.6 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 6.8 |
| Eastern Illinois | 7.5 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 6.0 |
| Other Colleges | 14.4 | 12.1 | 10.7 | 7.4 | 10.9 | 8.1 | 5.7 |
| University of Illinois/Champaign | 7.5 | 8.7 | 8.2 | 9.3 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 4.2 |
| DePaul | 1.9 | 2.4 | 5.1 | 3.7 | 6.0 | 4.8 | 3.9 |
| Elmhurst | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.6 |
| Loyola | 3.2 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 2.7 | . 3.5 | 4.5 | 2.8 |
| Western Illinois | 2.6 | 2.1 | 4.1 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 2.6 |
| Southern Illinois | 6.3 | 2.4 | 3.8 | 7.0 | . 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.1 |
| Columbia College | 0 | .8 | .8 | . 4 | 3.5 | 2.4 | 1.6 |
| University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 |
| University of Iowa | .6 | .8 | 1.5 | .8 | .8 | .6 | .8 |
| Art Institute of Chicago | .6 | .3 | 0 | . 8 | .6 | 0 | • 8 |
| Sangamon State | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 3 | 0 | .8 |
| Illinois Benedictine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 3 | .3 | .8 |
| IIT | 0 | .8 | 0 | 0 | .8 | .3 | .5 |
| University of Arizona | . 7 | 0 | .3 | 0 | . 6 | .3 | .5 |
| Bradley | 1.3 | 1.0 | 2.1 | .8 | .8 | 0 | .5 |
| University of Wisconsin | 2.5 | 1.3 | .5 | .8 | . 8 | 0 | .5 |
| Barat College | 0 | 0 | .8 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | .5_ |
| National-Louis University | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | .5 |
| Ray Vogue College of Design | 0 | 0 | .3 | 0 | .5 | .6 | .5 |
| Arizona State University | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.3 | . 4 | . 8 | .3 | .3 |
| Western Michigan | 0 | .3 | .8 | 0 | 0 | .9 | .3 |
| Triton | .6 | 0 | .3 | 1.1 | .3 | .3 | .3 |
| Trinity College | 0 | 1.0 | .3 | . 4 | 0 | 0 | .3 |
| Oakton | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 8 | .3 | .6 | 0 |
| Lake Forest College | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | . 4 | 0 | .6 | 0 |
| College of DuPage | 0 | .8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .6 | 0 |
| University of Wisconsin/Whitewater | 0 | .3 | . 2 | .8 | .3 | . 3 | 0 |
| National College of Education | 0 | .5 | 2 | .8 | 0 | .3 | 0 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 159 | 381 | 390 | 258 | 368 | 332 | 384 |

Other Colleges or Universities Enrolled in by 1991 Transfer Alumni

Miami University
Rosary
Westmont
University of North Florida
North Central
Taylor
University of Nebraska/Omaha
Northwestern

Governors State
Rockford
Illinois Wesleyan
Aurora
SMU
Amer. Society of Quality
Control Institute

Mesa Jr. College

Northern Michigan
Ferris State
Winona State
Brookhaven
Eckerd (Florida)
University of Michigan
Maryland
Nat'l. Chiropractic



Class Standing

| Class Level | Level | 1979 | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
|----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Freshman | 1 | .7 | . 2 | .6 | .7 | .7 | 1.2 | 1.5 |
| Sophomore | 2 | 21.6 | 16.7 | 17.1 | 7.3 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 17.3 |
| Junior | 3 | 56.4 | 62.5 | 69.2 | 47.0 | 38.5 | 51.2 | 58.8 |
| Senior | 4 | 19.8 | 17.7 | 10.5 | 38.0 | 41.2 | 32.0 | 21.4 |
| Professional School | 5 | . 4 | 1.9 | 1.2 | .7 | . 4 | 0 | ٠٤ |
| Graduate School | 5 | 0 | . 2 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 2.4 | . 2 | . 4 |
| Unclassified | | 1.1 | .8 | . 4 | . 8 | 2.6 | 1.5 | С |
| Number of Resp | oonses | 273 | 486 | 504 | 299 | 459 | 410 | 481 |
| Average Class | Level | 2.97 | 3.05 | 2.97 | 3.41 | 3.24 | 3.16 | 3.03 |
| Present Major Field | | <u> 1979</u> | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
| Business | | 37.1 | 33.0 | 34.2 | 31.7 | 35.6 | 32.6 | 24.4 |
| Education | | 4.6 | 4.7 | 6.6 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 9.8 | 14.1 |
| Other Social Scienc | es | 3.5 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 7.7 | 8.7 |
| Psychology | | 2.7 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 6.9 | 8.1 |
| Engineering and Tec | | 11.6 | 11.0 | 9.5 2.4 | 13.5 3.5 | 7.9 4.0 | 4.6 7.0 | 6.5 5.2 |
| English/Literature/S | | 1.1 6.5 | 2.4 3.8 | 4.7 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 4.6 |
| Biological Sciences Undecided | | 0.5 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 4.6 |
| Medical and Health | Fields | 7.0 | 5.6 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 4.4 |
| Humanities and Libe | | 5.1 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 2.1 | 4.0 |
| Art | 141 111 15 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 3.8 |
| Physical Education | & Recreation | 1.6 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 2.C | 1.4 | .9 | 2.2 |
| Law Related | | 1.6 | 2.4 | .6 | .3 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| Computer Science | | 1.1 | 4.6 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 1.6 |
| Physical Science | | 2.7 | 2.4 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 1.2 |
| Mathematics | | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.1 | 1.2 |
| Architecture | | 0 | .4 | . 4 | .7 | .5 | 1.1 .5 | 1.2 1.0 |
| Language Other | | .6 0 | 1.4 0 | .1 | .3 0 | 1.0 .2 | 2.8 | . 4 |
| Journalism | | 1.9 | 1.9 | 5.7 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 1.1 | .4 |
| Music | | 1.9 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 1.3 | .3 | .9 | . 4 |
| Home Economics | | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | .3 | . 2 |
| Food Service & Hote | 1 Management | 0 | . 2 | .6 | .3 | 0 | .7 | 0 |
| Agriculture and Con | servation | 1.6 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.7 | 7 | 2 | 0 |
| | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Res | ponses | 185 | 489 | 503 | 299 | 455 | 435 | 497 |



Relatedness of Major at Present College to Major at Harper

| Relatedness Index | Degree of <u>Relatedness</u> | <u>1979</u> | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | <u>1989</u> | 1991 |
|---|--|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| 3 | I ientical | 46.8 | 39.2 | 46.8 | 38.5 | 41.9 | 45.0 | 39.6 |
| 2 | Closely Related | 30.2 | 29.5 | 24.5 | 29.1 | 21.9 | 22.0 | 21.5 |
| 1 | Somewhat Related | 14.9 | 20.8 | 17.5 | 18.6 | 20.7 | 18.1 | 21.3 |
| 0 | Not Related | 8.1 | 10.5 | 11.2 | 13.6 | 15.5 | <u> 15.0</u> | 17.6 |
| | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | of Responses | 235 | 482 | 498 | 290 | 444 | 387 | 381 |
| Mean Re | latedness | 2.16 | 1.97 | 2.07 | 1.92 | 1.90 | 2.24 | 1.83. |
| <u>Transferabili</u> | ty of Courses | | | | | | | |
| | urses Taken at | | | | | | **** | |
| <u>Harper Which</u> | Were Transferred | 1979 | 1981 | <u>1983</u> | <u>1985</u> | <u> 1987</u> | <u>1989</u> | <u>1991</u> |
| 0 - 20 perce | | 1.3 | 1.4 1.4 | 1.0 1.0 | . 4 2.2 | 1.9 .8 | 1.4 .9 | 1.3 1.8 |
| 20 - 40 perce 40 - 60 perce | | 2.3 | 3.1 | | 2.2 | 1.9 | 3.1 | 1.8 |
| 40 - 80 parce 60 - 80 perce | | 7.2 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 6.8 | 5.6 |
| 80 - 80 perce 80 - 90 perce | | 9.4 | 10.6 | 15.3 | 13.0 | 9.6 | 13.1 | 13.6 |
| All but 2 or | | 12.6 | 14.6 | 16.9 | 15.7 | 15.3 | 13.1 | 15.7 |
| All but one o | | 11.6 | 13.9 | | 14.1 | 12.0 | 11.1 | 9.8 |
| Transferred a | | 54,7 | 48.9 | 44.8 | | 52.7 | 50.6 | 50.4 |
| | · · | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | of Responses | 223 | 424 | | | 366 | 352 | 389 |
| | rcent of Lourses sferred | 91.3 | 90.2 | 90.6 | 90.5 | 92.5 | 91.6 | 90.5 |
| | ded for Courses | | | <u>1987</u> | 1 | 1989 | 1991 | |
| Not Transfera | | | | | | | 33.9 | |
| transfer re | _ | | | 30.0 | | 18.9 | | |
| while at Ha | leading transfer info arper College | | | 12.9 | | 14.5 | 16.1 | |
| | at Harper or upon to | | | 8.8 | | 11.9 | 14.9 | |
| | while at Harper abou would transfer | t college | : | 10.6 | | 5.7 | 7.7 | |
| Did not expec | t classes to transfer | r | | 13.5 | | 5.0 | 7.7 | |
| Classes were | too remedial | | | 8.8 | | 17.0 | 7.1 | |
| | ne number of credits | | ble | | | 3.8 | 4.8 | |
| | not transfer due to g | | | 2.9 | | 1.9 | 2.4 | |
| Did not know why classes did not transfer | | | | 2.9 | | 14.5 | 1.2 | |
| Would not accept less than 2 credit hr. courses | | | | | | | 1.2 | |
| Classes were not comparable for transfer | | | | 9.4 | | 6.3 | .6 .6 | |
| | an associate degree | | | | | | . 6 | |
| | cept CLEP credits ationally certified f | or advicat | tion | | | | .6 | |
| | ationally certified I similar - could not t | | | em | | | .6 | |
| | est to accept course | | .I. OI CH | | _ | 6 | | |
| Total | of Responses | | | 100.0 | 1 | .00.0 | 100.0 168 | |
| Tedillori | or responses | | - 13 - | 18 | | | 100 | |

Grade Performance of Harper Alumni at Institutions They Are Now Attending Compared to Performance at Harper

| Present College | 1979 | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| Percent of students who earned a 3.0 cumulative GPA or better | 63.9 | 60.5 | 57.2 | 56.9 | 60.0 | 67.1 | 58.9 |
| Percent of students who earned below a 2.0 cumulative GPA | 2.1 | 2.6 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.5 | .6 | 1.4 |
| Mean cumulative GPA | 3.03 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.01 | 2.99 | 3.12 | 3.00 |
| Number of Respondents | 238 | 352 | 458 | 137 | 340 . | 319 | 353 |
| While at Harper College | 1979 | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | <u>1987</u> | 1989 | 1991 |
| Percent of students who earned a 3.0 cumulative GPA or better | 62.7 | 54.8 | 53.3 | 61.3 | 35.0 | 48.3 | 40.2 |
| Percent of students who earned below a 2.0 cumulative GPA | .8 | 2.4 | 1.3 | .7 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.7 |
| Mean Cumulative GPA | 3.03 | 2.93 | 2.96 | 3.05 | 2.80 | 2.91 | 2.90 |
| Number of Respondents | 236 | 383 | 460 | 137 | 340 | 319 | 35 3 |
| Annual Cost | 1979 | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | <u>1989</u> | <u>1991</u> |
| Less than \$500 \$ 500 - \$ 1,000 \$ 1,000 - \$ 1,500 \$ 1,500 - \$ 2,000 \$ 2,000 - \$ 2,500 \$ 2,500 - \$ 3,000 \$ 3,000 - \$ 4,000 \$ 4,000 - \$ 3,000 \$ 5,000 - \$ 6,000 \$ 6,000 - \$ 7,000 \$ 7,000 - \$ 8,000 | 9.9 8.1 3.7 4.3 8.1 14.3 28.6 12.4 (10.6 | 9.3 10.8 7.0 6.2 4.9 5.1 17.6 17.0 11.3 6.6 3.2 | 7.8 8.7 6.0 3.9 4.3 6.6 13.6 17.1 15.9 5.4 | 4.3 6.8 3.1 5.0 4.3 3.1 11.2 16.8 16.2 14.9 | 10.8 6.2 5.0 3.3 3.1 4.2 8.0 9.4 14.3 13.6 8.0 | 13.6 7.4 4.9 2.2 2.5 4.2 5.7 6.2 10.9 12.8 10.6 | 6.5 5.5 4.6 3.0 6.0 5.5 7.5 4.0 9.1 6.5 14.6 |
| \$ 8,000 - \$10,000 \$10,000 - \$12,600 \$12,000 - \$15,000 \$15,000 - \$20,000 | (| .8 .2 0 | 4.5 2.1 0 | 6.2 3.1 1.9 | 6.6 4.0 3.0 .5 | 8.4 4.4 4.4 1.7 | 9.1 9.1 5.5 2.5 |
| Over \$20,000 Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 161 | 471 | 485 | 161 | 426 | 405 | 199 |
| Mean cost per year | \$2927 | \$3324 | \$3919 | \$4734 | \$4892 | \$5204 | \$6171 |



| Cost of Present College - F | <u>ull-Time S</u> | tudents | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|---------|
| | All Alu | mni | Alumni at Harper | <u> Alumni I</u> | eaving |
| | <u> 1989</u> | <u> 1991</u> | 1989 and 1991 | <u> 1989</u> | 1991 |
| Less than \$500 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 41.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| \$ 500 to \$ 1,000 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 10.3 | 1.1 | 1.3 |
| \$ 1,000 to \$ 1,500 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 20.7 | 2.6 | 1.4 |
| \$ 1,500 to \$ 2,000 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 6.9 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| \$ 2,000 to \$ 2,500 | 1.7 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 1.9 | 4.1 |
| \$ 2,500 to \$ 3,000 | 3.8 | 7.2 | 0 | 4.1 | 7.5 |
| \$ 3,000 to \$ 4,000 | 5.5 | 8.5 | 3.5 | 5.6 | 8.8 |
| \$ 4,000 to \$ 5,000 | 7.8 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 4.1 |
| \$ 5,000 to \$ 6,000 | 14.0 | 9.9 | 0 | 15.2 | 10.2 |
| \$ 6,000 to \$ 7,000 | 16.7 | 7.2 | 0 | 18.2 | 7.5 |
| \$ 7,000 to \$ 8,000 | 13.0 | 17.8 | 0 | 14.1 | 18.4 |
| \$ 8,000 to \$10,000 | 11.3 | 10.5 | 0 . | 12.3 | 10.9 |
| \$10,000 to \$12,000 | 5.8 | 11.2 | 3.4 | 5.9 | 11.6 |
| \$12,000 to \$15,000 | 5.5 | 5.9 | 0 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
| \$15,000 to \$20,000 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 0 | 1.9 | 3.4 |
| Over \$20,000 | 0 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean Annual Cost | \$6,284 | \$7,15 5 | \$1,609 | \$6,724 | \$7,298 |
| Number of Responses | 293 | 152 | 29 | 269 | 147 |

Cost of Present College - Part-Time Students

| | Percent | | Alumni at | : Harper | Alumni L | eaving |
|------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | 1989 | 1991 | 1989 | <u> 1991</u> | <u> 1989</u> | <u> 1991</u> |
| Less than \$500 | 34.8 | 22.2 | 57.6 | 36.0 | 9.4 | 5.0 |
| \$ 500 to \$ 1,000 | 21.4 | 20.0 | 25.4 | 32.0 | 17.0 | 5.0 |
| \$ 1,000 to \$ 1,500 | 7.1 | 13.3 | 6.8 | 16.0 | 7.5 | 10.0 |
| \$ 1,500 to \$ 2,000 | 3.6 | 6.7 | 1.7 | 0 | 5.7 | 15.0 |
| \$ 2,000 to \$ 2,500 | 4.5 | 8.9 | 0 | 8.0 | 9.4 | 10.0 |
| \$ 2,500 to \$ 3,000 | 5.4 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 9.4 | 0 |
| \$ 3,000 to \$ 4,000 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 3.4 | 0 | 9.4 | 10.0 |
| \$ 4,000 to \$ 5,000 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.8 | 0 |
| \$ 5,000 to \$ 6,000 | 2.7 | 6.7 | 0 | 0 | 5.7 | 15.0 |
| \$ 6,000 to \$ 7,000 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 0 . | 0 | 5.7 | 5.0 |
| \$ 7,000 to \$ 8,000 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 9.4 | 5.0 |
| \$ 8,000 to \$10,000 | .9 | 6.7 | 0 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 10.0 |
| \$10,000 to \$12,000 | . 9 | 2.2 | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 5.0 |
| \$12,000 to \$15,000 | 1.8 | 4.4 | 1.7 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 5.0 |
| \$15,000 to \$20,000 | 1.8 | 0 | 1.7 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 |
| Over \$20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean Annual Cost | \$2,377 | \$ 3,000 | \$1,242 | \$1,664 | \$3,642 | \$4,695 |
| Number of Responses | 112 | 45 | 59 | 25 | 53 | 20 |

Honors Programs Participated in at Present College

- Phi Theta Kappa 2
- Honors Program 4
- Field Trips
- Alpha Epsilon Delta (Honors Society for Pre-Med) - Beta Alpha Psi (Honorary Accounting Fraternity)

 - Golden Key Society of Scholarships to Travel Abroad
- Psy Chi (Honors for Psychology) - Spanish Honors Society
- Volunteer Work - Tri Beta (Honors for Biology)
- Beta Gamma Sigma (National Business Honors)



| | | | 1977 | | | | 1979 | |
|-------------------------|----------|------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | New | Change | | | New | Change |
| | | Harper | Inst. | in Per- | | Harper | Inst. | in Per- |
| | <u>N</u> | GPA | <u>GPA</u> | <u>formance</u> | <u>N</u> | <u>GPA</u> | <u>GPA</u> | formance |
| Northeastarn | 13 | 2.81 | 3.24 | +.43 | 8 | 2.73 | 2.75 | +.02 |
| Eastern Illinois | 2 | 3.30 | 3.40 | +.10 | 11 | 2.85 | 2.65 | 20 |
| Univ.of Ill./Chicago | 16 | 2.93 | 3.14 | +.21 | 12 | 2.97 | 2.98 | +.01 |
| Southern Illinois | 19 | 2.89 | 2.96 | +.08 | 13 | 3.22 | 3.26 | +.15 |
| Western Illinois | 5 | 2.86 | 3.24 | +.38 | 6 | 2.87 | 2.93 | +.06 |
| Illinois State | 15 | 2.84 | 3.01 | +.17 | 22 | 2.86 | 3.02 | +.16 |
| Northern Illinois | 72 | 2.83 | 2.83 | 0 | 46 | 3.07 | 3.00 | 07 |
| Univ.Ill./Champaign | 15 | 3.23 | 2.92 | 31 | 18 | 3.41 | 2.87 | 54 |
| All new Institutions | 218 | 2.92 | 2.98 | +.06 | 238 | 3.03 | 3.03 | 0 |
| | | | 1981 | | | · | 1983 | |
| Northeastern | 15 | 2.89 | 3.13 | +.24 | 19 | 2.89 | 3.42 | +.53 |
| Eastern Illinois | 10 | 2.62 | 2.96 | +.34 | 20 | 2.67 | 3.15 | +.48 |
| Univ.of Ill./Chicago | 20 | 3.0 9 | 3.11 | +.02 | 27 | 2.93 | . 3.17 | +.24 |
| Southern Illinois | 9 | 2.98 | 3.09 | +.11 | 16 | 2.75 | 2.88 | +.13 |
| Western Illinois | 8 | 2.80 | 2.62 | 18 | 16 | 2.50 | 2.80 | +.30 |
| Illinois State | 30 | 2.86 | 2.97 | +.11 | 36 | 2.80 | 2.72 | 08 |
| Northern Illinois | 104 | 2.89 | 2.91 | +.02 | 77 | 2.95 | 2.83 | 12 |
| Univ.Ill./Champaign | 33 | 3. 23 | 3.00 | 23 | 31 | 3.25 | 3.12 | 13 |
| All new Institutions | 383 | 2.93 | 3.00 | +.07 | 460 | 2.96 | 3.00 | +.04 |
| | _ | | 1985 | | | | 1987 | |
| Northeastern | 4 | 2.80 | 3.25 | 4.45 | 16 | 2.77 | 3.24 | . 47 |
| Eastern Illinois | 7 | 2.61 | 2.80 | +.19 | 18 | 2.67 | 3.00 | .33 |
| Univ.of Ill./Chicago | 17 | 3.3^ | 3.13 | 26 | 20 | 2.94 | 2.98 | .04 |
| Southern Illinois | 10 | 2.88 | 2.94 | +.06 | 13 | 2.55 | 2.69 | .14 |
| Western Illinois | 2 | 2.99 | 3.10 | +.11 | 4 | 2.27 | 2.70 | . 43 |
| I ll inois State | 9 | 2.95 | 2.91 | 04 | 34 | 2.66 | 2.79 | .13 |
| Northern Illinois | 27 | 3.03 | 2.84 | 19 | 73 | 2.85 | 2.85 | .00 |
| Univ.Ill./Champaign | 15 | 3.44 | 3.03 | 41 | 19 | 3.21 | 2.99 | 22 |
| All new Institutions | 137 | 3.05 | 3.01 | 04 | 340 | 2.80 | 2.99 | .19 |
| | | · | 1989 | | | | 1991 | |
| Northeastern | 16 | 2.92 | 3.34 | +.42 | 2 6 | 2.98 | 3.18 | +.20 |
| Eastern Illinois | 11 | 2.82 | 3.02 | +.20 | 23 | 2.82 | 3.03 | +.21 |
| Univ.of Ill./Chicago | 20 | 3.03 | 3.55 | +.52 | 30 | 2.98 | 3.09 | +.11 |
| Southern Illinois | 9 | 2.61 | 2.89 | +.28 | 8 | 2.86 | 2.90 | +.04 |
| Western Illinois | 10 | 2.66 | 3.10 | +.44 | 9 | 2.57 | 2.87 | +.30 |
| Illinois State | 18 | 2.78 | 2.88 | +.10 | 32 | 2.68 | 2.64 | 04 |
| Northern Illinois | 84 | 2.95 | 2.98 | +.03 | 84 | 2.93 | 2.88 | 05 |
| Jniv.Ill./Champaign | 12 | 3.46 | 3.46 | 0 | 14 | 3.37 | 3.14 | 23 |
| All new Institutions | 318 | 2.91 | 3.12 | +.21 | 226 | 2.91 | 2.94 | +.03 |

Average of Past Eight Studies

| College | _N_ | Harper GPA | Other GPA | Change |
|--------------------------------|-------|------------|-----------|--------|
| Northeastern | 117 | 2.87 | 3.22 | +.35 |
| Vestern Illinois | 60 | 2.65 | 2.91 | +.26 |
| Eastern Illinois | 102 | 2.74 | 2.99 | +.25 |
| University of Illinois/Chicago | 162 | 3.02 | 3.15 | +.12 |
| Southern Illinois | 97 | 2.84 | 2.95 | +.11 |
| All new institutions | 2,320 | 2.93 | 3.01 | +.08 |
| Illinois State | 196 | 2.78 | 2.83 | +.05 |
| vorthern Illinois | 567 | 2.92 | 2.89 | 03 |
| University of Ill./Champaign | 157 | 3.30 | 3.05 | -,25 |



Experiences at Harper Which Aided the Transfer Process or Which Made it More Difficult

Grouped By School Transferred to:

Northern Illinois

- All my classes transferred and I entered as a junior this saved me money.
- Your compact agreement with NIU made it very easy.
- Receiving AA maje transfer easy.
- The counselors helped me through encouraging me to finish my associates that way all credits would transfer.
- Made the transition from high school to college much easier. Could handle the harder workload at NIU much better.
- Harper did not have all the correct information about required courses.
- I received a scholarship that pays for tuition, room and board, books and fees.
- My whole associate degree transferred with all my credit hours.
- Courses transferred easily.
- Yes, the compact benefits agreement between Harper and NIU made my admissions/acceptance easier.
- Easier in the way that Harper had a compact agreement with NIU and Harper had an NIU representative come down to answer questions.
- Harper gave me outline descriptions of a few of my courses.
- The counselors were very helpful.
- All classes transferring was great.
- Being able to fulfill all general education requirements.
- Being a Student Ambassador, speaking with advisors, using NIU booklets, etc.
- Yes, staying in touch with the counselors concerning my transfer to a 4-year college.
- Difficult I could not receive any information from Harper I had to find it all on my own.
- The course books available showing NIU/Harper compatible classes were most helpful.
- Yes, the program Harper had with Northern Illinois University helped me transfer all my classes with no questions asked.
- Compact agreement made it easier.
- My AA courses transferred without any problem.

University of Illinois. Circle

- Good counselor/Francis Brantley/broad range of courses taken I knew would transfer.
- It was easier to assimilate into college atmosphere rather than starting a 4-year school right out of high school.
- DORS pays approximately \$5,000 per year my personal expenses are \$1,000 \$1,500.
- The classes that I was told to take at Harper were not accepted by UIC.

University of Illinois, Champaign

- The counselors discouraged me from applying; however, did get accepted. Help was hard to find when trying to transfer to U of I. Only 1 person was knowledgeable enough to inform me about the transfer. She was not very encouraging either.
- Working with an advisor helped make the transfer go more smoothly.
- Simply attending Harper prepared me for college work.

Southern Illinois

- Easy access to transcripts

Harper

- Compact agreement with NIU really helped.
- The counselors guidance as to what courses would transfer helped a lot.
- A counselor that is knowledgeable in the specific college that I am transferring to U of I Francis Brantley
- I spent too much money in Harper for education. I cannot possibly go to a four year college any more because of financial problems.



xperiences at Harper Which Aided the Transfer rocess or Which Made it More Difficult (continued)

Grouped By School Transferred to:

Illinois State

- Joining activities helped make my transition easier. The classes I took helped me a lot.
- Associates in Arts made it easier to transfer to a 4-year university.
- Made adjustment easier.
- I received my associates degree and ISU takes whole degree/compact agreement.
- The compact agreement with Harper and ISU helped tremendously.

Lovola

- Difficult because I spent my first year at Loyola making up for the 2 years at Harper.

Roosevelt

- There was little help with filling out applications the basic procedures were assumed to be known. I had a hard time knowing where to start looking at schools to transfer to and how to make my final decision not enough quality counseling.
- I had no trouble transferring to Roosevelt.
- I went to see a counselor and he was very helpful.
- Advising Center had plenty of information from 4-year schools regarding requirements. This made it much easier to plan my program accordingly.
- Very pleasant.
- Roosevelt transfer scholarship \$6,000 per year renewable.

Iowa

- The counselors were not very helpful in helping me with my decisions.

Northeastern Illinois

- Harper did not have anything that prepared me for transferring.
- An English teacher that I had at Harper recommended Northeastern and several English teachers, so I know that their English Department was highly recommended.
- I like the people at Harper and miss some.
- Counselors were not available to evening students on a basis equal to daytime students.
- My transfer was lost at first.

Elmhurst College

- Yes, contact with my counselors to know what transferred made it very simple.

<u>DePaul</u>

- Easier career class with Ed Liska helped me to make decisions.
- It is difficult to transfer from a semester school to a quarter school.

Eastern Illinois University

- I received my AA degree and played tennis at Harper I received a tennis scholarship from EIU because of my experience.
- I received my AA therefore EIU accepted all my credits and I was considered a junior.
- Receiving my associates degree.
- Getting an associates degree made it easier.
- Attaining an AA degree enabled me to avoid general classes and get right into my interest of study.

College Not Indicated

- Having the associates degree helped to make transferring easier.
- Harper allowed me to have a "real" job giving me greater experience in the "real" world.
- Availability of catalogs and transfer sheets helped greatly.
- Not at all.
- Harper made everything so easy it is because so many schools accept the 2-year degree and admit you as a junior.



Experiences at Harper Which Aided the Transfer

Process or Which Made it More Difficult (continued)

Grouped By School Transferred to:

College Not Indicated (continued)

- No but seeing a transfer counselor at IBC prior to attending Harper did.
- Not accepting credits.
- Counselors extremely helpful.
- The counseling and administrative assistance was outstanding VA counseling was outstanding!
- Harper has wonderful facilities to help students make the next decision whether to continue their education or not an easy decision.
- The fact that so many credits transferred was great!

EVALUATION SECTION

Areas of Harper as Rated by Students -- 1 = Excellent

2 = Very Good

3 = Average

4 = Borderline Effectiveness

5 = Poor or Ineffective

| | <u>1977</u> | <u>1979</u> | 1981 | <u>1983</u> | <u>1985</u> | <u>1987</u> | 1989 | 1991 |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|------|
| Human Potential Courses | | | 1.98 | 2.10 | 1.75 | 1.94 | 1.64 | 1.81 |
| Teaching Faculty | 2.02 | 2.06 | 1.93 | 1.96 | 1.93 | 1.95 | 1.89 | 1.86 |
| College Survival Skills | | | | | | 2.74 | 1.96 | 1.89 |
| Course Selection | 2.17 | 2.12 | 1.99 | 2.00 | 1.96 | 2.06 | 1.94 | 1.93 |
| Tutoring/Study Skills Improv. | | 2.19 | 1.86 | 1.91 | 2.05 | 1.90 | 2. 0 0 | 1.98 |
| Admissions Program | 2.19 | 2.24 | 2.18 | 2.11 | 2.04 | 2.10 | 1.95 | 2.01 |
| College Days/Nights | | | | | | 2.14 | 2.02 | 2.03 |
| Career Planning & Dev. Course | | | | | | 2.25 | 1.98 | 2.04 |
| Health Service | 2.19 | 2.28 | 2.11 | 2.16 | 2.26 | 2.34 | 2.03 | 2.04 |
| Records and Registration | 2.25 | 2.35 | 2.24 | 2.15 | 2.17 | 2.27 | 1.97 | 2.15 |
| Remedial/Developmental Courses | ; | | 2.06 | 1.98 | 2.07 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.15 |
| Learning Atmosphere | 2.33 | 2.99 | 2.26 | 2.30 | 2.17 | 2.28 | 2.12 | 2.16 |
| Workshops - Student Developmen | nt | | | | | 2.29 | 2.11 | 2.21 |
| Computer Resources | | | | | | | 2.22 | 2.23 |
| Disabled Student Services | | | 1.72 | 1.74 | 1.75 | 1.77 | 1.71 | 2.24 |
| Learning Resource Center | 2.03 | 2.20 | 2.05 | 2.14 | 2.05 | 2.19 | 2.11 | 2.25 |
| Laboratories | | | | | | 2.26 | 2.17 | 2.25 |
| Instructional Strategies | | | | | | 2.22 | 2.20 | 2.25 |
| Student Activities | 2.59 | 2.96 | 2.45 | 2.39 | 2.39 | 2.43 | 2.21 | 2.28 |
| Women's Program | | | 2.00 | 2.17 | 2.03 | 2.00 | 1.77 | 2.30 |
| Athletics | 2.98 | 2.89 | 2.42 | 2.42 | 2.38 | 2.60 | 2.36 | 2.35 |
| Financial Aid | 2.56 | 2.69 | 2.26 | 2.35 | 2.33 | 2.51 | 2.27 | 2.36 |
| .Other Students | 2.55 | 2.57 | 2.44 | 2.07 | 2.35 | 2.46 | 2.33 | 2.41 |
| Counseling - Personal | 2.62 | 2.81 | 2.78 | 2.64 | 2.48 | 2.61 | 2.49 | 2.48 |
| Placement | 2.57 | 2.69 | | | | | 2.21 | 2.49 |
| Food Service | 2.46 | 2.66 | 2.67 | 2.61 | 2.56 | 2.60 | 2.40 | 2.54 |
| Veterans | 1.95 | 2.41 | 2.06 | 1.96 | 2.11 | 2.33 | 1.75 | 2.56 |
| Internships/Field Experiences | | *** | | | | 2.22 | 1.97 | 2.70 |
| Intramurals | 3.05 | 3.27 | 2.80 | 2.36 | 2.42 | 2.89 | 2.61 | 2.78 |

valuation of Harper Compared with Ratings of Other Colleges Attended

1 = Excellent

2 = Very Good

3 = Average

4 = Of Little Value

5 = Poor

| Areas in Which Harper Rated Best | 1983 Other College Rating | Alumni Diff- erence Other College Minus Harper Rating | Other College | Diff- erence Other College Minus Harper Rating | Other College | Diff- erence Other College Minus Harper Rating |
|--|------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Hearing Impaired Services | 2.50 | + .82 | 2.50 | + .71 | 2.15 | + .43 |
| Tutoring or Study Skill Improvement | 2.42 | + .51 | 2.73 | + .68 | 2.74 | + .84 |
| Disabled Student Services | 2.17 | + .43 | 2.17 | + .42 | 2.20 | + .43 |
| Illinois Job Service | 2.54 | + .47 | 2.55 | + .41 | 2.59 | + .30 |
| Records & Registration | 2.53 | + .38 | 2.56 | + .39 | 2.66 | + .39 |
| Remedial Development Courses | 2.24 | + .26 | 2.43 | + .36 | 2.25 | + .25 |
| Food Service | 2.84 | + .23 | 2.83 | + .27 | 2.99 | + .39 |
| Financial Aid | 2.41 | + .06 | 2.55 | + .22 | 2.87 | + .36 |
| Admissions Program | 2.25 | + .14 | 2.21 | + .17 | 2.37 | + .27 |
| Veterans Office | 2.47 | + .51 | 2.25 | + .14 | 3.00 | + .67 |
| Women's Program | 2.34 | + .17 | 1.80 | 23 | 2.29 | + .29 |
| Areas in Which Harper and Colleges Rated About Equal Course Selection Learning Resource Center Teaching Faculty Human Potential Courses Counseling | | 09 10 + .08 + .09 + .01 | 2.02 2.02 2.29 2.12 2.61 | + .06 03 + .29 + .37 + .13 | 2.07 2.23 2.03 2.00 2.54 | + .01 + .04 + .08 + .06 07 |
| Areas in Which Other Colleges Rated Best | | | | | | |
| Other Students | 2.09 | + .02 | 2.14 | 21 | 2.11 | 35 |
| Intramurals | 2.06 | 30 | 2.04 | 38 | 2.36 | 53 |
| Intercollegiate Athletic | | 58 | 2.00 | 38 | 2.44 | 16 |
| Student Activities | 1.89 | 50 | 1.97 | 42 | 2.17 | 26 |
| Health Services | 2.10 | 06 | 2.32 | + .06 | 2.11 | 23 |
| Learning Atmosphere | 2.04 | 10 | 2.02 | 03 | 2.16 | 12 |

| | 1989 Alumni | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|
| | | Difference Other College |
| Areas in Which Harper Rated Best | Other College Rating | Minus Harper Rating |
| Disabled/Handicapped Services | 2.57 | +.86 |
| Veterans Office | 2.44 | +.69 |
| Tutoring Center | 2.67 | +.67 |
| Human Potential Course | 2.21 | +.57 |
| Women's Resources Program | 2.31 | +.54 |
| Records and Registration Financial Aid | 2.48 2.72 | +.51 |
| Admissions Program | 2.72 | +.45 +.37 |
| Health Services | 2.32 | +.37 |
| College Nights | 2.39 | +.37 |
| Food Service | 2.73 | ÷.37 |
| Placement Office | 2.47 | +.26 |
| Remedial Developmental Courses | 2.23 | +.23 |
| Career Planning | 2.21 | +.23 |
| Teaching Faculty | 2.10 | +.21 |
| College Survival Skills | 2.14 | +.18 |
| Workshops/Student Development | 2.26 | +.15 |
| Personal Counseling | 2.62 | +.13 |
| Laboratories | 2.29 | +.12 |
| | _,_, | |
| t | | |
| Areas in Which Harper and Other Colleges R | | |
| Course Selection | 2.02 | +.08 |
| Instructional Strategies | 2.23 | +.03 |
| Internships - Field Experiences | 1.88 | 09 |
| Learning Resources Center | 2.01 | 10 |
| Areas in Which Other Colleges Rated Best | | |
| Learning Atmosphere | 1.97 | 15 |
| Student Activities | 2.04 | 17 |
| Computer Resources | 2.00 | 22 |
| Other Students | 2.06 | 27 |
| Intercollegiate Athletics | 1.98 | 38 |
| Intramurals | 2.00 | 61 |
| | | |
| | 1991 Alumni | |
| | - ACCOUNTAGE OF THE PARTY OF TH | Difference Other |
| | | College |
| Areas in Which Harper Rated Best | Other College Rating | Minus Harper Rating |
| Tutoring Center | 2.96 | +.98 |
| Human Potential Course PSY/107 | 2.67 | +.86 |
| Career Planning Course PSY/108 | 2.76 | +.72 |
| College Learning Skills PSY/106 | 2.45 | +.56 |
| Food Service | 3.06 | +.52 |
| Remedial Developmental Courses | 2.64 | +.49 |
| Veterans Office | 3.00 | +.44 |
| Records and Registration | 2.59 | +.44 |
| Course Selection | 2.36 | +.43 |
| Admission Program | 2.39 | +.38 |
| Health Service | 2.37 | +.33 |
| Financial Aid | 2.68 | +.32 |
| Teaching Faculty | 2.12 | ÷.26 |
| Disabled/Handicapped Services | 2.50 | +.26 |
| Instructional Strategies in Classroom | 2.50 | +.25 |
| Intercollegiate Athletics | 2.58 | +.23 |
| Personal Counseling with Counselors | 2.63 | ₹.15 |
| College Days/Nights | 2.16 | +.13 |
| | - 21 - | |

ERIC Full Text Provided by ERIC

- 21 -

| | | Difference Other College |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| reas in Which Harper and Other | A.1 A.11 W.A.1. | _ |
| Colleges Rated About Equal | Other College Rating | Minus Harper Rating |
| Women's Program | 2.40 | +.10 |
| Learning Atmosphere | 2.23 | +.07 |
| Laboratories | 2.29 | +.04 |
| Placement Office | 2.48 | 01 |
| Workshops - Student Development | 2.16 | -:05 |
| Computer Resources | 2.17 | 06 |
| Learning Resources Center | 2.17 | 08 |
| Areas in Which Other Colleges Rated Best | | •• |
| Student Activities | 2.15 | 13 |
| Other Students | 2.12 | 29 |
| Internships and Field Experiences | 2.19 | 51 |
| Intramurals | 2.23 | 55 |

Courses by Rank Order of Their Net Benefit to the Alumni
-- Courses Nominated Ten or More Times are Included

| Speech Mathematics General Business English Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | 1989 A. A. Times | <u> </u> | | | В | |
|---|------------------|------------|-------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Mathematics General Business English Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | Times | | | | | |
| Mathematics General Business English Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | | Times | | Times | Times | |
| Mathematics General Business English Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | Nominated | Nominated | | Nominated | Nominated | |
| Mathematics General Business English Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | As Most | As Least | | As Most | As Least | |
| Mathematics General Business English Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | Beneficial | Beneficial | | Beneficial | Beneficial | |
| Mathematics General Business English Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | Course | Course | a/b | Course | Course | <u>a/b</u> |
| Mathematics General Business English Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | 113 | 7 | 16.14 | 159 | 13 | 12.23 |
| General Business English Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | 133 | 10 | 13.30 | 142 | 41 | 3.46 |
| English Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | 78 | 9 | 8.67 | 65 | 19 | 3.42 |
| Data Processing Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | 162 | 22 | 7.36 | 173 | 30 | 5 .7 7 |
| Career Plng. & Develop Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | 75 | 13 | 5.77 | 69 | 26 | 2.65 |
| Psychology Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | | 6 | 3.63 | 53 | 7 | 7.57 |
| Political Science Education Philosophy Humanities | 109 | 30 | 3.36 | 130 | 34 | 3.82 |
| Education Philosophy Humanities | 39 | 12 | 3.25 | 50 | 22 | 2.27 |
| Philosophy Humanities | 35 | 12 | 2.92 | 42 | 11 | 3.82 |
| Humanities | 60 | 21 | 2.86 | 50 | 46 | 1.09 |
| | 69 | 25 | 2.76 | 66 | 3 3 | 2.00 |
| Child Development | 22 | 8 | 2.75 | 2 6 | 15 | 1.73 |
| Sociology | 64 | 25 | 2.56 | 82 | 30 | 2.73 |
| Economics | 65 | 28 | 2.32 | 65 | 31 | 2.10 |
| Biology | 71 | 31 | 2.29 | 87 | 35 | 2.49 |
| Art | 36 | 19 | 1.89 | 30 | 3 9 | .77 |
| Physics | 16 | 9 | 1.78 | 16 | 16 | 1.00 |
| Chemistry | 30 | 17 | 1.76 | 32 | 29 | 1.10 |
| Astronomy | 26 | 15 | 1.73 | 27 | 31 | .87 |
| Secretarial Science | 12 | 8 | 1.50 | 8 | 12 | .67 |
| Human Potential Semina | | 7 | 1.43 | 8 | 14 | .57 |
| Literature | 54 | 38 | 1.42 | 52 | 20 | 2.60 |
| Anthropology | 31 | 22 | 1.41 | 31 | 30 | 1.03 |
| Foreign Languages | 29 | 21 | 1.38 | 46 | 34 | 1.35 |
| History | 40 | 29 | 1.38 | 56 | 44 | 1.27 |
| Geology | 14 | 11 | 1.27 | 18 | 25 | .72 |
| Physical Ed. & Recrea | tion 25 | 21 | 1.19 | 31 | 18 | 1.72 |
| Physical Science | 12 | 14 | . 86 | 18 | 30 | .60 |
| Music | 24 | 40 | .60 | 25 | 15 | 1.67 |
| Mechanical Engineering | g 12 | 27 | . 44 | 8 | 19 | .42 |
| Architecture | | | | 7 | 15 | . 47 |
| Fashion Design | | | | 6 | 16 | . 38 |
| Linguistics | | | | 3 | 12 | . 25 |
| Computer Science | | | | 40 | 17 | 2.35 |
| Total | 1,568 | 586 | 2.68 | 1,721 | 829 | 2.08 |
| | | - 22 | | | | |



Courses by Rank Order of Their Net Benefit to the Alumni -- Courses Nominated Ten or More Times are Included

| Times Nominated | Times Nominated | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| as Most | as Least | |
| <u>Beneficial Course</u> | Beneficial Course | <u>a/b</u> |
| 600 | 293 | 1.85 |
| 1,208 | 582 | 2.08 |
| 1,430 | 677 | 2.11 |
| 1,085 | 420 | 2.58 |
| 1,061 | 449 | 2.36 |
| 940 | 447 | 2.10 |
| 737 | 387 | 1.90 |
| 821 | 397 | 2.07 |
| 1,712 | 647 | 2.65 |
| 1,557 | 578 | 2.53 |
| 1,104 | 437 | 2.53 |
| 1,165 | 502 | 2.32 |
| 1,568 | 586 | 2.68 |
| 1,721 | 829 | 2.08 |
| | as Most <u>Beneficial Course</u> 600 1,208 1,430 1,085 1,061 940 737 821 1,712 1,557 1,104 1,165 1,568 | as Most as Least Beneficial Course 600 293 1,208 582 1,430 677 1,085 420 1,061 449 940 447 737 387 821 397 1,712 647 1,557 578 1,104 437 1,165 502 1,568 586 |

Additional Courses Which Would Have Benefited Students If They Had Been Included in His/Her Curriculum

Math and Quantitative Skills -- 16
Computer courses that transfer - 5
Computer science - 3
Computer programming - 2
Pascal C
Digital System Design
Circuit analysis
More math
Electronics
CAD

Self Development -- 10

Career Exploration - 4

Human Potential - 2

Transferring to a University Seminar - 2

Time Management

Practical Psychology

Communications. Literature
and Humanities -- 6
New communications courses - 2
Good people skills
Leadership skills
Business writing
How to write research papers
at advanced level

Performing Arts -- 4
More theater courses - 2
Black music
Advanced Fine Arts

Education -- 2 Special Education - 2 Vocational -- 15
Word Perfect - 3
Lotus - 2
Interior Design Courses - 2
Criminal Justice Defensive Techniques
Medical Terminology
Computer Graphics
Windows
DOS
Automotive
Secretarial Courses
Human Services Courses

Social Sciences -- 13
Political Science - 2
Anthropology - 2
Psychology - 2
International Cultures
Geography
Black History
Women in Politics
Sociology
Community Demographics
Inner City Studies

Science -- 7
More science - 3
Bio Chemistry
Chemistry for Pharmacy School
Physics
Beginning Chemistry

Theology Philosophy -- 2
Religion Courses
Philosophy



Additional Courses Which Would Have Benefited Students If They Had Been Included in His/Her Curriculum (continued)

Business Related Courses -- 8
Sales Courses - 2
Finance - 2
International Business
More Practical Business Courses
Management Courses
Business

Health Related Fields -- 2
Exercise Physiology
Human Sexuality

Other -- 1 Military Science ROTC

Summary of Types of Courses Which Would Have Benefited Former Students Had They Taken Them at Harper

| | ALUMNI CLASS | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Type of Course | 1979 | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | <u>1991</u> |
| Math and Quantitative Skills | 12 | 8 | 22 | 22 | 10 | 20 | · 16 |
| Vocational | 12 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 15 |
| Social Science | 6 | 10 | 15 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 14 |
| Communications, Literature and Humanities | 14 | 18 | 33 | 19 | 15 | 10 | 10 |
| Self Development | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 10 |
| Business | 26 | 16 | 36 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 8 |
| Sciences | 7 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| Education | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Health Related | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Theology and Philosophy | | | | | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Engineering | 1 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Agriculture Related | 0 | _1 | _1 | _1 | 0 | 0 | <u>_</u> 0 |
| Total | 84 | 73 | 156 | 100 | 70 | 69 | 86 |

| Learning Resource Center Evaluation | | | Percen | Percent | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| • | 1979 | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | <u>1987</u> | <u>1989</u> | <u> 1991</u> | |
| I very seldom used the LRC I found the LRC a good place to study | 30.9 45.4 | 32.0 54.3 | 30.9 42. 9 | 26.4 53.0 | 15.1 66.1 | 22.0 54.7 | 27.3 52.0 | |
| It was easy to find and check out almost all books needed | 44.1 | 36.1 | 50.7 | 42.6 | 66.3 | 55.7 | 53.2 | |
| The AV material available in media section was very helpful | 17.9 | 13.6 | 13.4 | 8.8 | 22.9 | 23.6 | 21.4 | |
| I had trouble getting much of the material needed | 4.3 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 4.6 | 4.8 | |
| Other good comments | 4.6 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 4.1 | . 7 | 1.5 | |
| Other bad comments | 7.1 | 3.3 | 1.9 | 2.9 | 6.5 | .9 | 2.8 | |
| Neutral comments | .3 | 1.0 | 1.3 | . 2 | .7 | . 2 | . 2 | |
| · Total Responded | 215 | 394 | 680 | 477 | 629 | 567 | | |

Ways in Which Student Had Trouble Getting Much of the Material Needed from the LRC

- Was confused with the system.
- Only one tape at a time limited.
- Much material was outdated/old.
- Using computers to find books
- Books not available overdue books not in noisy.
- Disorganized
- Because I was unfamiliar with the resources like Dewey Decimal System better.
- All checked out.
- Lack of material.
- It was gone already.
- Outdated/non-existent resources
- Librarians did nothing for me although there was one gentleman who was very helpful.
- It was not there.
- Could not find books out of order.
- Help from people at library
- Difficulty finding current magazines.
- Books are old.
- No food allowed.
- Do not understand system.
- Poor set-up instructions
- Periodicals not available

Other Comments About Learning Resources Center

- The Tutoring Center was great!
- What Learning Resources Center?
- People are helpful with ordered articles.
- The topics I needed information on seemed like it was always checked out or there was not enough material.
- Tables were not set up to study.
- Too busy.
- Easily traveled and supplied.
- Very noisy.
- Some things hard to find.
- Computer never cleared returned books.
- Need a bigger selection
- Too much talking
- Library shelves are sometimes disorganized.
- Accounting Resource Center is excellent.
- Tutoring Lab was very helpful.
- Lately it has been too noisy.
- Great help from library staff!
- A lot of outdated/limited selection of books.
- I went to the Tutoring Center and used the library to get articles on reserve.
- Too noisy Indian students!
- Good periodicals
- Tutoring in Math was helpful.
- Information at Schaumburg Library was more helpful than information at the LRC.
- Library needs to be bigger.
- I enjoyed using Media Center when I had free time.
- Noisy
- Tutoring very helpful.



Usage of Computers by Transfer Alumni Use of Computer Terminal or Microcomputer by Alumni

| OI COMPULEI TEIMIT | OT AT WEAR | 1987 | | 39 | 1991 | | |
|--------------------|-------------|-------|------------|-------|------|-------------|--|
| | N_ | PCT | _N_ | PCT | _N_ | PCT | |
| Often | 154 | 24.6 | 164 | 29.5 | 180 | 27.0 | |
| A few times | 186 | 29.8 | 153 | 27.5 | 238 | 36.0 | |
| Never | <u> 285</u> | 45.6 | <u>239</u> | 43.0 | 242 | <u>36.7</u> | |
| Total | 625 | 100.0 | 556 | 100.0 | 660 | 100.0 | |

| Location where micro or | Terminais useu |
|-------------------------|----------------|
| | 1987 |

| | 1 | 987 | 1 | 989 | 1991 | | |
|---------------------|-----|------|-----------|------|------|------|--|
| | N_ | PCT | N | PCT | _N_ | PCT | |
| Building "I" | 119 | 35.0 | 133 | 42.0 | 147 | 38.7 | |
| Mainframe | 38 | 11.2 | 37 | 11.7 | 34 | 8.9 | |
| Word Processing | 35 | 10.3 | 38 | 12.0 | 44 | 11.6 | |
| Micro | 46 | 13.5 | 58 | 18.3 | 69 | 18.2 | |
| Building "J" | 118 | 34.7 | 123 | 38.8 | 147 | 38.7 | |
| Micro | 118 | 34.7 | 123 | 38.8 | 147 | 38.7 | |
| Building "D" | 138 | 40.6 | 115 | 36.3 | 167 | 43.9 | |
| Instructional | 138 | 40.6 | 115 | 36.3 | 110 | 28.9 | |
| Micro | | | | *** | 57 | 15.0 | |
| Northeast Center | 11 | 3.2 | 7 | 2.2 | 6 | 1.6 | |
| Micro | 11 | 3.2 | 7 | 2.2 | 6 | 1.5 | |
| Building "H" | 30 | 8.8 | 38 | 12.0 | 27 | 7.1 | |
| CAD/Center | 24 | 7.1 | 22 | 6.9 | 22 | 5.8 | |
| CAD/REL | 6 | 1.8 | 4 | 1.3 | 5 | 1.3 | |
| Micros Building "A" | 6 | 1.8 | 3 | .9 | 7 | 1.3 | |
| Micros Building "F" | 5 | 1.5 | 11 | 3.5 | 98 | 25.8 | |
| Micros Building "C" | Ô | 0 | 3 | .9 | 7 | 1.8 | |
| Total Responded | 340 | · · | 317 | | 380 | | |

Courses Where Alumni Used Microcomputers and Terminals

| | | 1987 | _1 | 989 | 1991 | |
|------------------------|-----|------|-----|------|------|------|
| Courses | N | PCT | N_ | PCT | _N_ | PCT |
| Computer Info. Systems | 244 | 71.8 | 192 | 60.6 | 206 | 51.1 |
| Math or Statistics | 132 | 38.8 | 117 | 36.9 | 117 | 29.0 |
| English | 6 | 1.8 | 15 | 4.7 | 74 | 18.4 |
| Word Processing | 15 | 4.4 | 25 | 7.9 | 59 | 14.6 |
| Accounting | 0 | 0 | 35 | 11.0 | 52 | 12.9 |
| Physics | 24 | 7.1 | 17 | 5.4 | 32 | 7.9 |
| Computer Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 3.7 |
| Engineering Tech | 15 | 4.4 | 13 | 4.1 | 13 | 3.2 |
| Business | 19 | 5.6 | 22 | 6.9 | 7 | 1.7 |
| Chemistry | 11 | 3.2 | 5 | 1.6 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Art | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1.9 | 6 | 1.5 |
| Journalism | 0 | O | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Psychology | 2 | .6 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Electronics | 4 | 1.2 | 3 | .9 | 4 | 1.0 |
| Literature | Ō | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | .7 |
| Philosophy | Ô | . 0 | . 0 | 0 | 3 | .7 |
| CAD | Ô | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | . 7 |
| Speech | o | Ō | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 5 |
| Economics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 5 |
| Management | n | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | . 5 |
| Child Development | Ô | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 |
| History | Õ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 |
| Medical Terminology | Ō | Ō | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 |



Courses Where Alumni Used Microcomputers and Terminals

(Continued)

| • | | 1987 | | 1989 | 1991 | |
|--------------------|-----|-------|-----|-------|----------|-------|
| Courses | N | PCT | N_ | PCT | <u>N</u> | PCT |
| Nutrition | 2 | .6 | 3 | .9 | 1 | . 2 |
| Humanities | 0 | Ø | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 |
| Nursing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | . 2 |
| Architectural Tech | 1 | .3 | 0 | .0 | 1 | . 2 |
| Music | 3 | .9 | 3 | ٠,6 | 1 | . 2 |
| Biology | 1 | .3 | 2 | .6 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Language | 1 | .3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Career Exploration | _3 | .9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 340 | 100.0 | 317 | 100.0 | 403 | 100.0 |

Usefulness of Computers or Terminal Work to Present Situation

| | | 1987 | | 1989 | | 1991 |
|--|-------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|-------|
| Courses | N | PCT | N | PCT | <u>N</u> | PCT |
| Used computer or termin | al 42 | 12.4 | 23 | 7.3 | 71 | 17.0 |
| but did not indicate | if | | | | | |
| it helped or not | | | | | | |
| Use of terminal and computer a help in | 194 | 57.1 | 214 | 67.5 | 254 | 60.8 |
| present situation | 10/ | 50.6 | 00 | 05.0 | 03 | 22.0 |
| Not relevant to present situation | 104 | 30.6 | <u>80</u> | 25.2 | _93 | 22.2 |
| Total | 340 | 100.0 | 317 | 100.0 | 418 | 100.0 |
| | | | | | | |

Problems Using or Getting Access to the Microcomputers

| | 1 | 987 | 19 | 989 | 1991 | |
|-------------------------|----------|-------|------|--------------|-----------|-------|
| Category | <u>N</u> | PCT | N_ | PCT | _N_ | PCT |
| Experienced no problems | | 85.6 | 252 | 81.8 | 334 | 89.8 |
| Did experience problems | 48 | 14.4 | _ 56 | <u> 18.2</u> | <u>38</u> | 10.2 |
| Total | 333 | 100.0 | 308 | 100.0 | 372 | 100.0 |

Problems Getting Access to or Using Microcomputers or Computer Terminals

- Busy and problems sometimes if -- I had questions not enough people to help.
- In Building P
- I wish my English teachers would have stressed and pointed out computer usage.
- No seats left.
- The mainframe terminals used to crash all the time.
- Was not aware anything was available for use outside of classes/jobs.
- Crowded.
- Not open long enough or late enough.
- At times they were all taken and the hours were not all that convenient.
- I am vision impaired we needed another computer with enlargement device
- Scheduling
- Waiting for availability of computers or certain disks.
- Time sharing difficulties.



- 27 -

Problems Getting Access to or Using

Mcrocomputers or Computer Terminals (continued)

- It has been too long to remember what kinds of problems just remember being frustrated.
- On few occasions when the areas were overcrowded.
- Too crowded sometimes.
- Sometimes.
- Occasionally during high demand times.
- Hours some of the lab centers were not for all students.
- Not enough open hours for Math.
- Too many people in class not enough terminals.
- There were not enough available.
- I did not have any idea how to use computers

How Use of computers at Harper has Helped Alumni in Their Present Situation

- Did not specify -- 4
- Typing papers on terminals.
- I know more about computers
- I am more familiar with computers
- Personal interests
- .- I learned how to use computers at Harper
- The more I used computers, the more literate I became.
- Can easily learn any system.
- Became familiar with them.
- I work on a computer every day at work.
- Somewhat we charge people out through a computer system.
- I gained familiarity.
- Helpful and easy access.
- I use a computer every day.
- I am planning on taking Cobalt, so I feel more confident going into the class.
- In my typing for papers at NIU.
- It helped me understand computers a lot more than I did before.
- In other classes and at work.
- I use word processing now and desk top publishing I spent much time in lab.
- I assist people part time with computers.
- Learn more how to use computers.
- I learned word processing skills while working on the newspaper.
- Enabled me to produce better work and therefore better grades.
- Able to use computers easier because I had experience at Harper.
- I have learned to understand computers better.
- Saves time using a computer for certain tasks.
- To become familiar with computers.
- Good experience
- I am more comfortable with the computer.
- I am familiar with job.
- To understand different programs and computers.
- I work with computer terminals.
- Made it easy to adapt.
- (ollege search
- Taught me how to use Lotus 123 and WordPerfect.
- Word Processing
- I have become much more knowledgeable in general computer use.
- I was able to learn how to use them.
- Because I did not have computer to type paper for English course.
- More adept computer use.
- Gave me a comfort level.
- Great word processing equipment.



How Use of computers at Harper has Helped

Alumni in Their Present Situation (continued)

- I can create papers for classes/business more efficiently and more error-free.
- It is hard learning the new system at UIC.
- I am a computer major.
- Not much, I have one at home.
- It has given me a good background to build on.
- I became more comfortable working with computers.
- I feel more computer literate.
- Helped me get my work done.
- Confidence
- I use a computer once in a while but it helped to have background.
- Organization, faster capacity.
- Helped familiarize the use of computer labs.
- Writing papers PC's are handy.
- In using certain programs like Quatro-Pro.
- I constantly use computers at my job.
- Computer knowledge helped in almost every field.
- I learned much about computer networks dealing with businesses.
- A little bit of insight.
- I was able to do statistic problems with relative ease.
- I know how to use a computer.
- All accounting and most other business courses use computers for assignments.
- Gained computer knowledge.
- My job uses computers and after taking the class I applied that knowledge.
- To adjust to using a terminal for writing
- Computer skills are important for everyone.
- It helped during my class.
- I became familiar with computer use while at Harper.
- Helped me get familiar with the computer in general.
- At work I am often working with a computer.
- Most business courses incorporate computers.
- Gave me experience with computers.
- Computer is present in my work place.
- All the knowledge I gained acted as a base.
- I know how to use them.
- It is important in all of life's situations to understand computers.
- My knowledge of computers helps in my job.
- Become more familiar with them.
- In the CAD in my current job.
- I have to type sometimes.
- I use all programs learned at Harper at Northern University.
- I deal with computers every day brought me confidence in using one.
- It taught me the logic involved in computer programming.
- I have a better understanding of PC's an educated user.
- We use it a lot at NIU.
- Become more comfortable with computer use.
- Become computer literate.
- I did a lot of work in data processing before going to school at NIU.
- I have to type all my papers in my university.
- I know I have a little experience for what is to come.
- Word processing in preparing my term papers more efficiently.
- Helped me use PC at home.

Why Use of Computer at Harper Has NOT Helped Alumni in Their Present Situation

- Did not specify 5
- Does not relate to present career.
- I do not use computers at NIU.
- The course was not designed toward field of work.



WMY Use of Computer at Harper Has NOT Walped Alumni in Their Present Situation (continued)

- I do not use computers at this time.
- It is not my major.
- Do not use computer on either job.
- Have access to computer at home and work.
- Changed major.
- · Screens too small not allowed to use digitizers.
- I just used it for class.
- · I don't use them on job yet.
- Do not use same programs.
- · I was not nearly as proficient as I should have been coming to NIU.
- I already know how to use terminals.
- · Able to practice up.
- Have not had to use knowledge recently.
- · Have not used these skills in my present job.
- I do not use computers for my current job or my major.
- · I do not use them at present.
- Just part of class not very relevant.
- · They are not necessary for my present situation.
- I do not have much use for it.
- · Just different way of doing problems waste of time.
- I came to ISU and had to learn MAC.
- · Every youth today is taught how to use computers before College.
- Had a hard time using at Harper.
- · I work on different types of computers now.
- I do not use computers with my present job, only occasionally at home.
- · Computers are not readily available at my present school so I don't use them.
- Because presently I am not doing what I hope to be doing in the future.
- Did little or nothing.
- · Do not use computers at present job.
- But Lotus 123 and Harvard Graphics courses helped tremendously.
- · My use of terminals was hypothetically based/I have not taken another Stat class yet.
 - I do not use computers at this time.
- I do not use the computer today for the reasons I had used them at Harper.
- I am not working with computers right now.
- · I was not happy with DPR/101 could have been teacher. Worked frequently with computer before attending Harper.

Change in Education or Vocational Goals

| | Change <u>Index</u> | <u>1979</u> | 1981 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
|----------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| More than one major change | 3 | 6.2 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 9.7 |
| One major change | 2 | 23.2 | 22.0 | 20.6 | 23.2 | 14.1 | 22.7 | 20.3 |
| Made only minor change(s) | 1 | 19.0 | 12.6 | 9.8 | 9.4 | 9.5 | 10.9 | 9.8 |
| Not sure if change mad | le 0 | 8.5 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.8 |
| Never | 0 | 43.1 | 50.7 | 57.0 | 56.8 | 65.1 | _55.8 | <u>56.4</u> |
| Total | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Index of Change | | .84 | .86 | .78 | .80 | .61 | .79 | .80 |
| Number of Respon | nses | 211 | 676 | 675 | 474 | 624 | 559 | 660 |



| Services Used in Making Changes | <u>1979</u> 52.0 | 1981 29.7 | <u>1983</u> 41.9 | <u>1985</u> 30.4 | <u>1987</u> 36.7 | <u>1989</u> 34.5 |
|--|---------------------|--------------|---|---------------------|---|---------------------|
| Counseling Made decision on own without | 14.2 | 36.7 | 39.2 | 27.7 | 33.2 | 29.4 |
| outside help | 14.2 | 30.7 | 37.2 | 27.7 | 33.2 | |
| Talk with friends or relatives | 2.9 | 30.4 | 28.3 | 25.8 | 38.3 | 31.1 |
| Talk with faculty | 14.7 | 22.7 | 23.8 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 20.6 |
| Specific courses helped | 11.8 | 20.0 | 20.8 | 20.4 | 22.4 | 16.0 |
| Career Center | | | | | | |
| Four-year college literature | 1.5 | 16.0 | 17.7 | 11.2 | 19.9 | 9.7 |
| and catalogs | 1.3 | 10.0 | 2 | | | |
| Career library | 44.1 | 8.3 | 9.1 | 6.5 | 10.2 | 6.7 |
| Placement office or job service | 38.2 | 1.3 | 4.2 | | 3.1 | 3.4 |
| Magazine articles and job | 64.7 | 6.0 | 7.5 | | | 2.9 |
| opportunity ads | 04.7 | 0.0 | , | | | |
| Employment situation | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 1.7 |
| Harper Catalog | | | | | | .8 |
| | 1.0 | .3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 4 |
| Counseling from 4-year college | 1.0 | | | .4 | .5 | 0 |
| Was not accepted in major field | . 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .5 | 0 |
| Job availability in market | . 1.0 | .3 | - | .4 | 0 | 0 |
| High school counselors | | .J. | | .4 | ŏ | o |
| Grades forced decision | 1.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ō |
| Requirements of major fields | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ő |
| Courses available on schedule | 1.0 | U | U | U | U | U |
| Total students who made changes | 102 | 300 | 265 | 260 | 196 | 238 |
| Readily received help from a counselor Advising received from a counselor was not very helpful Advising received from a counselor was helpful Readily received help from a faculty member Received helpful advice from a faculty member Advice received from a faculty member was not helpful Number of respondents regarding counselor 628 Number of respondents regarding a faculty member 600 | | | | | 1987 64.3% 12.6% 71.5% 32.0% 74.0% 6.3% | |
| Use of Academic Advising Services in Making Educational Plans 1989 Those using services 59.7 Those not using services Total 100.0 | | | | | 1991 74.8 25.2 100.0 | } |
| Degree of Helpfulness Received from Counselor 1989 Counseling was helpful 87.6 | | | | | <u>1991</u> 86.8 | |
| Counseling was not helpful Total 12.4 100.0 | | | | | $\frac{13.2}{100.0}$ | |
| Those that Served as Advisor | | | 1000 | | 1991 | |
| Student Development - fact Teaching faculty member Information specialist Other Total | alty membe | ers | 1989 77.9 17.9 2.9 1.3 100.0 | | 88.3 10.3 1.4 | 3 4 <u>4</u> |



47.1 30.1

29.0 25.4 24.3 13.4 9.4

8.7 5.8 4.0

Ways in Which Academic Advising Received From a Counselor Was Helpful

- Did not specify 4
- He showed me what class to take.
- Yes and No some counselors were helpful others were merely confusing.
- Information in transferring.
- Credit evaluation
- Tom Choice was a wonderful advisor.
- Very encouraging, patient and understanding.
- Made sure all requirements are met.
- Finding the school that fit me.
- Willing to listen, genuine interest in my questions.
- Tom Choice was super.
- Helped pick classes.
- Very responsive.
- · Coal planning.
- Somewhat
- About Eastern
- They helped with the General Education courses only.
- Received Distinguished Scholarship Program
- Very knowledgeable
- She answered my questions and gave me literature.
- Helped me set transferring up.
- I was full academic scholarship recipient.
- Listened to my needs.
- Good information.
- They helped me choose courses.
- She explained what I needed to do to change paths.
- Set things in perspective.
- Advised what Math to take.
- · Yes, the second time she helped no, the first counselor was worthless.
- Cannot help me decide on a career I am hearing impaired, also.
- Helped me to choose EIU.
- Told me what courses I had to take for NIU.
- · Helped to take transferable course.
- Very thorough counselors very concerned and gracious.
- But vague
- Helped to pick classes.
- · Course planning very ef ective
- Helped me choose proper lasses to take showed me what needed to know.
- The counselor gave me many options.
- Gave good alternatives
- Explaining requirements to achieve AA degree.
- Gave me a better idea of what to expect in Law School.
- · Very much so I'm still seeing her on a regular basis, too.
- Helped keep on track with classes.
- · Very beneficial for checking into other schools directional
- They showed me what courses to take.
- · Easy to talk to informative which courses to get my degree
- But too fast
- · To pick night classes by talking, listening
- In planning for my AS
- JoAnn Powell was very patient.
- The advising was helpful to me helped me make several decisions.
- · What I needed to transfer.
- Extremely/F. Brantley helped me while I was on probation, not just in getting myself off probation, but goal oriented talking about other schools to continue my education.
 Really took a lot of time with me - can't thank her enough.
- Frances Brantley knew what she was doing so it didn't seem so mind-boggling.



Ways in Which Academic Advising Help Received From a Counselor Was Not Helpful

- They cared
- Since I had no goal in mind I was just encouraged to try it.
- Very indecisive.
- Misinformed me.
- She gave me faulty information almost prevented me from attending NIU on time.
- They are not informed about transfer classes and were not helpful.
- Extremely incompetent.
- I took too many of my major classes at Harper instead of 4-year college.
- Too vague and rushed.
- Did not take the courses I needed for Loyola did not prepare me for Loyola.
- No benefit at all very ineffective no concern with my major only concerned with graduation from Harper.
- The counselors that I came in contact with were not specific enough. Everything was in broad terms. They were really of no help to me.
- Book explains all courses required counselor not much extra help.
- Did not have financial advising.
- The counselors discouraged me from my goals.
- Scmewhat inaccurate at times.
- I had the impression that the counselor did not feel that I could, some day, accomplish my goal. This goal was to become a high school teacher.
- They told me what I already knew.

| Use of Financial Aid/Veteran's Benefits | 1989 | <u>1991</u> |
|--|-------|--------------|
| Those that applied for aid | 18.0 | 16.5 |
| Those that did not require aid | 61.5 | 64.5 |
| Those that did not think they qualified | 20.5 | <u> 19.0</u> |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| | | |
| Those That Applied for Financial Aid/Veteran's Benefits | 1989 | <u> 1991</u> |
| Received aid or benefits | 68.4 | 57.1 |
| Did not receive aid or benefits | 31.6 | 42.9 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Satisfaction with Services Received for Those Who Applied for Financial Aid or Veterans Benefits | | <u> 1991</u> |
| Very Satisfied | | 43.5 |
| Satisfied | | 30.7 |
| Neutral | | 12.9 |
| Dissatisfied | | $_{12.9}$ |
| Total | | 100.0 |



Student Activities by Rank Order
Their Net Benefit to the Alumni

| Their Net Bener | | 1985 | | | 1987 | |
|--------------------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|
| - | | b . | | <u> </u> | b | |
| - | Times | Times | | Times | Times | |
| | As Most | As Least | | As Most | As Least | |
| | Beneficial | Beneficial | | Beneficial | Beneficial | Ĺ |
| | ctivity | Activity | _a/b | Activity | Activity | |
| Pom Pon/Cheerleadi | | 18 | . 22 | 2 | 22 | .05 |
| Harbinger | 59 | 11 | 5.36 | 65 | 14 | 4.64 |
| Guest Speakers | 43 | 6 | 7.17 | 5 6 | 6 | 9.33 |
| Concerts | 39 | 6 | 6.50 | 52 | 7 | 7.43 |
| Films | 37 | 8 | 4.63 | 33 | 9 | 3.67 |
| Radio Station/WHCM | 13 | 17 | .76 | 20 | 21 | . 95 |
| Field Trips | 19 | 3 | 6.33 | 21 | 9 | 2.33 |
| Other* | 19 | 0 | | 18 | 1 | 18.00 |
| Varsity Athletics | 10 | 16 | .63 | 16 | 17 | .94 |
| Peer Counseling | 6 | 12 | .50 | 7 | 9 | . 78 |
| Studio Theater | 12 | 7 | 1.71 | 15 | 8 | 1.88 |
| Muman Potential Se | | 8 | 1.88 | 6 | · 9 | .67 |
| Student Senate, | 6 | 14 | .43 | 9 | 12 | . 75 |
| Program Board | • | | | | | |
| boint of View | 19 | 8 | 2.38 | 21 | 7 | 3.00 |
| Mini Courses | 6 | 4 | 1.50 | 10 | 14 | .71 |
| Clubs | 15 | 10 | 1.50 | 10 | 9 | 1.11 |
| Intramural Sports | 7 | 13 | .54 | 3 | 19 | . 16 |
| Band, Orchestra, | 5 | 14 | .36 | 10 | 10 | 1.00 |
| Choral Group | J | • | | | | |
| Total | 334 | 175 | 1.91 | 373 | 203 | 1.84 |
| Ì | | 1989 | | 19 | 91 | |
| | a | b | | a | b | |
| | Times | Times | | Times | Times | |
| | Nominat | ed Nominate | d | Nominated | Nominated | |
| | As Most | | _ | As Most | As Least | |
| • | Benefic | ial Benefici | al | Beneficial | Beneficial | |
| | Activit | | <u>a/b</u> | <u>Activity</u> | <u>Activity</u> | <u>a/b</u> |
| Guest Speaker | 68 | 13 | 5.23 | 80 | 13 | 6.15 |
| Other* | 12 | 5 | 2.40 | . 6 | 1 | 6.00 |
| Harbinger | 77 | 16 | 4.81 | 91 | 20 | 4.55 |
| Concerts | 44 | 14 | 3.14 | 51 | 18 | 2.83 |
| Films | 33 | 14 | 2.36 | 49 | 19 | 2.58 |
| Clubs | 18 | 20 | .90 | 38 | 20 | 1.90 |
| Field Trips | 32 | 18 | 1.78 | . 35 | 21 | 1.67 |
| Studio Theatre | 12 | 19 | .63 | 27 | 17 | 1.59 |
| Point of View | 16 | 16 | 1.00 | 2 5 | 17 | 1.47 |
| Human Potential Se | em. 13 | 16 | .81 | 21 | 19 | 1.11 |
| Varsity Athletics | | 20 | .75 | 22 | 23 | . 96 |
| Radio Station/WHC | | 26 | 1.38 | 29 | 38 | .76 |
| Mini Courses | 17 | 14 | 1.21 | 14 | 19 | .74 |
| Band/Orchestra/ | 9 | 18 | .50 | 12 | 22 | .55 |
| Choral Group | - | | | | | |
| Peer Counseling | 9 | 19 | . 47 | 11 | 21 | .52 |
| Student Senate, | 6 | 20 | .30 | 11 | 25 | . 44 |
| Program Board, | | | | | | |
| Intramural Sports | 14 | 15 | . 93 | 8 | 25 | .32 |
| Pom Pon/Cheerlead | | 20 | . 25 | 5 | 26 | . 19 |
| Total | 436 | 303 | 1.44 | 535 | 364 | 1.46 |
| | | | | | | |

^{*}See Next Page



| | <u> 1989</u> - | 199 |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----|
| - Student Ambassador | 2 | 2 |
| - On campus job | 2 | - |
| - Fashion shows | 1 | 1 |
| - Tutoring in the center. | 1 | 0 |
| - Field biology trip | 1 | 0 |
| - Student Trustee | 1 | 0 |
| - Dance Company | 0 | 1 |
| - Anthology of Student Writing | 0 | 1 |
| - Wellness Week | 0 | 1 |

| | | HARPER | EXPERIEN | ICE | | | | |
|--|-------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Rours Worked Per Week While Attending Harper | | <u>1979</u> | 1981 | <u>1983</u> | 1985 | <u>1987</u> | <u>1989</u> | <u>1991</u> |
| I did not work | | 13.3 | 8.8 | 11.1 | 8.8 | . 6.8 | 6.4 | 8 - 2 |
| 1 to 5 hours | | 1.5 | . 8 | . 3 | . 6 | 1.3 | .9 | . 7 |
| 6 to 10 hours | | 4.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 2.7 | 1.8 | 2.1 |
| 11 to 15 hours | | 7.0 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 9.3 | 8.2 |
| 16 to 20 hours | | 30.4 | 27.2 | 25.3 | 23.2 | 25.5 | 18.8 | 23.5 |
| 21 to 25 hours | | 21.7 | 21.9 | 21.2 | 24.4 | 18.4 | 18.8 | 16.4 |
| 26 to 30 hours | | 7.1 | 11.3 | 13.5 | 8.4 | 10.1 | 11.1 | 11.4 |
| Over 30 hours | | 14.7 | 10.0 | <u>19.0</u> | $\frac{25.3}{100.0}$ | $\frac{29.8}{100.0}$ | <u>33.0</u> 100.0 | $\frac{29.5}{100.0}$ |
| Total | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | | 323 | 679 | 676 | 475 | 628 | 549 | 660 |
| Mean number of hours | | 18.99 | 21.3 | 21.0 | 22.0 | 23.0 | 23.7 | 22.6 |
| Relation of Work to Major | | | | | | | | |
| Field at Harper College | Index | <u> 1979</u> | 1981 | <u>1983</u> | <u> 1985</u> | <u>1987</u> | <u>1989</u> | 1991 |
| | Index | 12/2 | 1701 | 1702 | 1700 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | **** |
| Identical | 2 | 19.6 | 17.6 | 20.4 | 16.6 | 16.8 | 26.7 | 31.2 |
| Related or Beneficial | 1 | 24.7 | 21.2 | 16.8 | 20.7 | 19.3 | 20.7 | 21.0 |
| Of Little Benefit | 0 | <u>55.7</u> 100.0 | $\frac{61.2}{100.0}$ | 62.8 100.0 | $\frac{62.7}{100.0}$ | $\frac{63.8}{100.0}$ | <u>52.6</u> 100.0 | <u>47.8</u> 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | | 280 | 609 | 594 | 391 | 368 | 498 | 567 |
| Average Index | | .64 | .56 | .58 | .54 | .53 | .74 | .83 |
| Hours Spent Per Week in Extra Curricular Activity | | | | | | | | |
| Did not participate | | 79.0 | 79.4 | 76.0 | 78.7 | 75.9 | 74.7 | 75.8 |
| 1 to 3 hours per week | | 11.1 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 11.6 | 12.7 |
| 4 to 7 hours per week | | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 4.1 |
| 8 to 12 hours per week | | 2.5 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 2.7 |
| Over 12 hours per week | | $\frac{2.8}{100.0}$ | $\frac{1.7}{100.0}$ | $\frac{5.0}{100.0}$ | $\frac{3.2}{100.0}$ | $\frac{7.2}{100.0}$ | $\frac{4.7}{100.0}$ | $\frac{4.7}{100.0}$ |
| i'umber of Responses | | 324 | 635 | 676 | 473 | 627 | 554 | 65 7 |
| Mean Number of Hours | 6 | 1.14 | 1.02 | 1.54 | 1,26 | 1.78 | 1.59 | 1.46 |

| Informally in Student Center | | | | | | | • |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Less than one hour | <u>1979</u> 33.0 | 1° <u>31</u> 38.2 | 1983 45.2 | <u>1985</u> 39.1 | <u>1987</u> 44.3 | <u>1989</u> 49.6 | <u>1991</u> 50.3 |
| 1 to 6 hours | 55.0 | 50.9 | 44.4 | 49.0 | 42.5 | 44.4 | 43.4 |
| 7 to 12 hours | 10.5 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| 12 to 20 hours | . 9 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 1.6 | .7 | . 9 |
| Over 20 hours | <u>.6</u> 100.0 | <u>.5</u> 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\frac{1.0}{100.0}$ | $\frac{.2}{100.0}$ | <u>.3</u> 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 324 | 636 | 675 | 474 | 618 | 552 | 643 |
| Mean number of hours | 3.38 | 3.20 | 2.94 | 3.25 | 3.23 | 2.45 | 2.48 |

| Students View of Harper College | 1989 PCT | 1991 PCT |
|--|----------|----------|
| Those that definitely would recommend Harper College | 97.0 | 95.3 |
| Those not sure they would recommend Harper College | 2.8 | 4.3 |
| Those that definitely would not recommend Harper College | 2 | 4 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |

Other Comments

Hours Spent Per Week

- I would like to see Harper as a 4-year school if it was today I would still be enrolled.
- It was a great place to start my education and further define my goals.
- Great school dedicated teachers.
- I wish you had more telecourses.
- Harper is a super institution and I feel that it was one of the best choices I have ever made Thank you!
- I feel it was beneficial for me to attend Harper before NIU. It prepared me for the studying and college level classes at NIU.
- Harper was great. A great staff who helped in and out of the classroom. My involvement in activities at Harper helped to expand me internally. I now feel comfortable at 4-year school.
- Excellent faculty almost every teacher I had loved their job!
- It is such an excellent location/teaching is good, etc., the list goes on! But why doesn't it become a 4-year institution? I know dozens people who would continue their education if this reform were to take place.
- Harper College is an excellent school. The teachers were very personable and the classes were very challenging. I would still be there if it was a 4-year school.
- It has been a good experience for me, even though less challenging than UI Harper provides a more mature environment in which I could be able to set the tone for my future studies.
- Faculty in most cases is very prepared and is able to give good grounds for the future.
- I feel Harper was an excellent community college. I really enjoyed my years there. I had wonderful teachers/always went at night/wish I could have finished sooner, but I took a couple of years off.
- Now that I am working full time days, I need evening courses.
- After graduation I realized that with some exceptions Harper is a fine college with which I had few problems.
- It is a good school when people are undecided about further college or career plans. Some of the teachers were really good.



- All in all it was a good experience. I feel more of my classes, if not all, would have transferred had I known my major at the start.
- I had the best experience at Harper. I took it for granted until I started at Northwestern and saw how "perfect" Harper is. The registration at Harper is very convenient. The staff is helpful and understands what they are doing/teachers excellent.

- Harper is a good school. I enjoyed my two years of College.
- Being physically challenged I found it difficult to get to and from classes. The hand icapped parking is located too far from bldgs. Also, registration was very difficult

because of my disability.

- It is a good college to start off at if you are unsure of career or educational goals.

- I was misled about courses transferring. Yes, the credits transferred, but not all the classes did with the AA degree. Students should be made aware of this.
- The counseling Center could be improved if there were assigned counselors. The counselors would be assigned according to major.

- Enjoyed my years at Harper and glad that I attended. Enjoyed my teachers and classes.

- The requirements of a variety of classes for the AA degree helped me out a lot, not only for transferring of credits, but it gave me a broader education.

 I am very glad I went to Harper. My involvement in numerous activities made my experience especially beneficial.

- I think Harper is an excellent choice for beginning freshman rather than a four-year school. The person gets a look at what college life is like and then is more mature

when they are ready to go on.

- The faculty at Harper is far superior to Northern. They seem to care about their students potential. The worst thing, by far, about Harper is the career counselors. They are uninformed, rude, and act totally uninterested in the students and their goals. I know they have a large work load, but this is their job and they don't perform it well. At Northern they are much better informed and able to help the students make the proper academic course selection.

- I feel I received an excellent education at Harper. I feel very capable of computing with students here with such a firm foundation.

- I was happy with Harper College, more so than the 4-year university I transferred to. Excellent faculty, facilities, prices, and activities.
- I think the counselors need to be more informed on transferring because I pay out-of-district fees and it's going to take me 5 years for a 4-year degree due to transferring classes.
- Excellent choice for people who are not sure of what to major in economically or excellent choice.
- I felt that Harper had a lot to offer me in my educational goals. I would hope it would one day become a four-year university.
- I really miss going to Harper full time. I had great experiences there.

- I liked it very much.

- Harper is excellent in an academic sense. I feel I have been prepared pretty well for the difficulty of NIU's accounting program. Even with this though I still did not feel like I was attending a "real" college.
- I very much enjoyed my time at Harper and would really like to see something worked out with a four-year school.

- I am glad that I went to Harper before attending a 4-year university.

- Good preparation for students who transfer to 4-year universities or colleges. Those who cannot afford a 4-year college, maybe making it a 4-year school with abundant full-time faculty.

- I enjoyed my experience at Harper.

- Students should be assigned an advisor to meet with regularly, not just when they are registering for the first time.

- Very good 2-year school. Excellent faculty and course offerings.

- Harper was a smart economical start before attending a 4-year university.

- Include more mathematics courses.

- The Acting Program was really good - you should have more.



WHCM's music format is more conducive to a high school mentality than that of an educated, college student type mentality. Other college and university music formats more reflect the open mindedness and originality characteristic of educated individuals. Could not Harper's College Radio format reflect the music found on College music charts?

- The school was a great memory to me. The teachers are all sometimes too self absorbed but oftentimes the teachers tended to be helpful, reasonable, helpful. They were approarhable and flexible.

- Harper should be switched to a 4-year college.

- Certain parts of it were the greatest I have ever experienced but the academic BS negated the school.
- Needs more bathrooms Harper is great It needs a lot of work, more classes, cafeteria needs longer hours.
- I think Harper was wonderful! I plan on getting my graduate work finished and hopefully end up teaching at Harper!
- The LRC needs considerable upgrades as far as more updated books and reference materials. Laboratories, i.e., CAD Center, need serious equipment upgrades.
- I think Harper is the greatest. If Harper went 4-year I never would have left.
- Harper was a very good school. I really liked it a lot learned a lot from my professors. I was glad I went to Harper first.
- They have a very good program for disabled students and would highly recommend to all.
- You have a good school, but counseling is a joke for night students as are many other areas. The evening students are ignored and often not notified of changes until they arrive for classes.
- I only wish there were a greater atmosphere of concern for education among the students. Too many students don't take their education seriously enough.
- I feel overall Harper is a good place to begin your higher education not only as an alternative to the high cost of most 4-year schools but as an institution of its own.
- I think Harper is an excellent institution and served my needs very well the education that I received was well worth the money.
- I really enjoyed my 2 years at Harper and would recommend attending Harper to anyone. The faculty and courses were excellent and I had few problems if any with anything. Thank you!
- Harper campus is very clean and modern. I wished there were more social activities.
- Telephone registration is great! At UIC I have to stand in line for hours and it is horrible. At Harper I never had a problem getting into a class I wanted not so at UIC.
- Teachers that care about student success! As an adult student attending evening classes, the teachers & staff were excellent in support & encouragement to all students. I found all my classes interrelated to next class. Classes where I felt would be the hardest for me I had teachers who loved their speciality they made the education in that area come alive and application to our everyday lives and employment
- I think the mathematics department at Harper is among the best in the world. I had Dr. Schooley as a teacher for 1-1/2 years and I feel he is one of the greatest human beings to have ever lived. He has left a life-long impression on me and I am a better person for it.
- Wish it would turn into a 4-year college.
- Harper College is a very good academic institution, but could do a better job preparing students for jump to "Big 10" schools. The staff at Harper (support) is excellent. The faculty is very personable.
- The Harbinger should be given to every student. The radio station should be announced & more popular. Let them know it is there. What is "Point of View"?
- I am very glad I went to Harper a good place to start one's college education.
- Counseling should be required for new students I felt a little lost.
- I am very satisfied with my education so far from Harper and would encourage anyone with college plans to attend Harper and then a 4-year school.
- I think it is a wonderful way to start off in higher education if you don't really know what you are going to do with your life. It is also a cheaper way to get your General Education done. When talking with others who went to Harper I have heard nothing but good things. Thank you!



- I enjoyed going to Harper very much. The Office of Registration had the nicest people always happy. When I picked up my diploma I thanked them for all their help.
- I think people that transfer without getting a degree should also evaluate Harper. Someone must look at the statistics concerning Physics Dept. I feel that Harper students shouldn't have to wait until a part-time teacher comes to teach Physics, in order to avoid unacceptable standards of grading except Physics Dept. go to Oakton/Triton.
- The one person who influenced me the most in the best way possible was Mr. Charles Norris in the Anthropology Department at Harper.
- Wish there were more diversified classes offered.
- I have found myself better prepared for upper level courses than the students that have only attended Northeastern.
- Mrs. Hinkel, Mr. Macaulay, Dr. Marriott, Mr. McCabe, Mr. Norris, Mr. Sell, Mr. Sangelmann, and Dr. Steward are treasures as teachers. They make Harper an exceptional experience I have learned a great deal from these people and feel that I leave Harper a stronger, focused student because of their efforts. These faculty members all deserve A's.
- I enjoyed my time at Harper.
- Harper would be an excellent 4-year school. We all have and need goals the College should work toward becoming a 4-year school.
- Honestly when I first started at Harper, I did not want to go there because it was community college after getting my job in the computer labs and getting to be an actual part of the Harper "machine" I loved it it's much better than NIU.
- I think that the Registrars Office should be more efficient more help so it does not seem "rushed" when in there.
- I think Harper is a very good college have learned a lot from attending all my classes there. I feel I am a much stronger/wiser women than I was 8 years ago.
- Harper helped me to get some goals set in my life and plan for the future. I liked the teachers, the sizes of the classes, the help I got in making decisions that were important to me and Harper was a step I needed to take.
- It's so convenient 10 minutes away and cheap. I wish it were a real university.
- In general, Harper is a good school and well worth the money. I was very pleased that my classes transferred easily to my 4-year college & the graduation ceremony was very nice.
- I was a part of the spring 1991 term at Christ Church College in Canterbury, England. It was the best experience of my life and am trying to convince others to take part in foreign study exchange programs.
- Tuition is too high I had remembered an article showing Harper 2nd highest in the state.
- The class size is wonderful for receiving quality education.
- I went at night and the great majority of the teachers were excellent interested in their field, helpful, knowledgeable, and friendly.
- I was told the wrong information from a counselor about transferring to NIU and the classes I should take.
- Send some of your teachers to U of I to show them what real teachers actually are in 1996 I will get my doctorate and at this time I will create a special fund for those less fortunate students in care of Harper. \$1,000 to start with at 1996 but will increase every year until it reaches \$5,000 at year 2000. These amounts are subject to change!
- I made use of the community counseling center on several occasions and found it helpful.
- I am glad I attended Harper before making my final career choice it gave me a little more time to think about my goals.
- Disabled Student Services very helpful counselors very understanding and helpful.
- I found most of the business and math courses that I took very beneficial and they prepared me very well for my future studies at UIC. The Economics Dept., however, was weak.
- I wish it was a 4-year college I will stop my education with an associates degree, but if Harper was a 4-year school, I could finish.
- Harper College is very similar to high school to freshmen just entering college. It is easy for these students to think of Harper as high school and I believe that this is why there is a large drop rate for classes.



- It's a very good College. I really benefited taking all the courses and especially ESL.
- The faculty is outstanding. Harper College was a blessing to me because it gave me a second chance I did not take high school serious but when I came to Harper I turned my act around and now I am doing quite well.
- I would like to see more clubs that will include students interested in specific cultures and ethnic groups.
- Offer more science, micro, a class hard to get into.
- Compared to the college that I am at right now Harper blows it right out of the water. Harper is a far better school. I miss it!
- I think Harper is great staff very helpful good leadership by President.
- Expand into a 4-year collego.
- I think Harper is a great school. Being as old as I am I was very comfortable among all the young people the teachers are great.
- I will be taking one course over the summer Physics 203 and I have been accepted to U of I at Urbana/Champaign for civil engineering for the fall. This was never asked for but I thought it should be known.
- More information and/or programs for people that have graduated from Harper. For example, a special counselor for graduates also an invitation for graduates to take additional classes if they choose. For example I'm a secretary at a big corporation and I would like to learn Word Perfect 5.1 but I am no longer a resident I live in Bartlett. Since I am a graduate it would be nice to be able to attend at in-district fees as a special benefit.
- Continue with the weekend and evening classes.
- Overall, good community college very helpful.
- Really enjoyed my experience at harper College and felt that I was greatly prepared for the rest of my College experiences especially compared to many of my friends who just started out in a 4-year program - many of whom have since dropped out.
- Parking was a big problem.
- Attending Harper and working part time left little time for much of anything else.
- I believe the quality and class availability is fantastic. Having courses available to those working full time is important public transportation is a must.

Comments When Asked What Students Would Like To See Changed

- Comments about Parking and Parking Lots -- 38
- Comments about making Harper a 4-year college -- 28
- The social part of it.
- Closer parking or tunnels who designed this campus someone from the West Coast?
- Hire more full-time teachers.
- The fee for parking should be less or not required the lots are too far for such pricing. Anytime a project was due it could be blown away some 50-100 yards from a building.
- Change curriculum 4-year schools require classes in non-western cultures and traditions. Some require a class in diversity.
- Separate communications and theatre courses.
- Change a few of the professors.
- The counselors did not help at all. I think a course in career planning should be mandatory for graduation.
- Wish more tickets were given to people who park at the end of the rows where there are no lines it gets dangerous when you cannot see around the car.
- Regulate the temperatures better in Building D.
- Have more special education courses. And make it a 4-year university it is so close and affordable compared to my 4-year college.
- Get the school more involved in clubs and athletic events definitely needs improvement.
- Make it easier for disabled students to register and move around the campus.
- The advising about transferring to NIU should be updated as often as possible.
- The counseling program the school's reputation.
- Offer higher level courses with all the school commuters of today it would be a great benefit for this area's students.



Comments When Asked What Students Would Like to See Changed (continued)

- That more people realized how great of an education you can receive for low cost.
- Offer more courses in the Humanities and the Arts, especially in Literature.
- Requirements for an Associate Degree.
- Counseling Service they don't research what they are advising students are dependent on them. If I had not received advice from teachers, I never would have had the right courses to graduate.
- More consistency in quality of Math faculty. It is very difficult to find a competent teacher. Many of the part timers are excellent.
- Think a student should be placed in Math and English by high school grades and not the placement tests because some people just do not do good on time tests.
- No loitering
- Stop sending follow-up surveys if people don't answer with the first copy don't bother us with more.
- The atmosphere of people who go there because they have no choice and don't care about their education.
- Do some double checking on who you hire as teachers. Just because someone knows a topic well does not mean he/she can teach it.
- To be sure counselors advise students on what courses transfer and those that do not.
- More concrete aid for deaf students deciding on a career. More information about clubs.
- Make entire campus non-smoking when registering for classes at the beginning of each semester, give priority to students who have been there longer so that they have more of a guarantee of getting into classes they need NIU does this.
- Make the class structure work in a way that students could interact more.
- Make PSY/108 a required course to all students.
- Introduce better acquainted business professors with more experience.
- Social atmosphere.
- Some form of indoor smoking area during the winter months.
- I would like you to be concerned about international students residential status whose father is working and paying taxes here but who has student visa and has to pay four times as much as in-district tuition.
- Prepare students for the university or 4-year college. Council students to take courses at Harper that they won't have to take again at the 4-year school after Harper.
- Radio station format no more high school.
- The registration process.
- Better facilities, up-to-date systems, buildings, etc., cleaner.
- Employ more effective counselors they were of no help at all. May be detrimental to young students needing direction.
- Raise the standard SAT admission requirements to Harper and the test given by the Professors.
- Employing a more qualified part-time staff. In the past the quality of education that I have received was not at the level it was at the time of my initial attendance 12 years ago. This assessment comes from comparisons of educational coursework experience at 5 colleges.
- Offer more sections of courses already available and add more selections.
- A more developed student center closer to those found at major universities to create an atmosphere for greater interaction between people attending the college.
- Many of the teachers -- never available, horrible teaching methods, swearing at students which is totally unprofessional, horrible communication skills.
- I think Harper should focus more on the transferring student.
- I don't like those geese hanging out in the campus,
- More information to community on services and quality education available at Harper. Statistics on ranking of school, students served, honors program, education is for people of all ages.
- The computer situation let people know about them and give them limited instruction using them such as a 30-minute instruction period -- and get more of them!
- Better night-time faculty. It is a must because the many instructors I had were only part time and thus less demanding of the students.
- Putting more lighting from classes taken at night for students walking across parking lots of more public safety personnel.



(continued)

I prefer a more challenging academic environment, but I think Harper services the needs of the community fairly well. It is conservative but is a bit annoying as well.

- Night classes that start later in the evening 7:00 7:30 p.m.
- Strongly suggest that the school never change Chemistry Dept. but major changes are needed in physics.
- Change the core requirements so that they would be a broader range of fields.
- Some of the teachers ethics/values were lacking had their own asenda with little consideration for the needs of the student.
- Expand the library!
- Fill in the ponds and make them parking lots lower tuition.
- Greater advertising about job placement/career planning. This is the first I heard of it from this survey.
- Make the Bookstore larger more easily accessible.
- Nursing students compete with Dental Hygiene students for micro and other sciences.
- Working in Admissions Office and during registration not very helpful and always acted as you were putting them out.
- Counselors should get the correct information
- Grade transcripts not sent on time to ISU.
- The attitudes of the people in the Financial Aid Office.
- Decrease the tuition for international students
- The non-social atmosphere.
- The tutoring center
- More personal lectures offered for community, something compared to what Lutheran General has talks each month on a different personal subject self-esteem, eating disorders, relationships, etc.
- Evaluation forms for classes should be filled out for the Art Department just as in other classes.

Data Section Comparing Alumni Leaving Harper Versus Alumni Remaining at Harper for a Third Year

| | | | ng at Ha g Alumni | | | Alumni Leaving Harper After Attaining Alumni Status | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|----------------------|----------|-------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | <u>1991</u> |
| Present Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| Employed full time, not in college | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 9 | 24.0 | 31.0 | 25.4 | 20.3 | 21.6 |
| Employed full time, enrolled part time | 31.5 | 47.5 | 60.0 | 50.5 | 36.2 | 2.3 | 6.9 | 6.2 | 8.3 | 4.4 |
| Employed full time, enrolled full time | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 5.8 |
| Rorolled full time, employed part time | 18.9 | 19.1 | 20.0 | 17.9 | 18.1 | 17.5 | 17.0 | 25.0 | 30.5 | 26.1 |
| Enrolled full time, not employed | 11.7 | 2.4 | 5.3 | 4.2 | 6.0 | 45.3 | 31.7 | 32.1 | 25.2 | 31.2 |
| Rnrolled part time, employed part time | 22.5 | 21.4 | 11.6 | 14.7 | 25.9 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.5 |
| Employed part time, not in college | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.2 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| anrolled part time, not employed | 11.7 | 7.1 | 1.1 | 8.4 | 9.5 | .5 | .5 | . 4 | 1.7 | 0.9 |
| Armed Services | Ű | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 0 | . 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Unemployed, but seeking employment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 2.3 | . 6 | . 2 | . 5 |
| Homemaker | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 9 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | . 5 |
| Other unemployed | 0 | 0 | 0_ | <u>0</u> | 0 | 5 | 1.5 | 6 | _2.1 | 2.4 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 111 | 42 | 95 | 95 | 116 | 570 | 435 | 535 | 472 | 551 |
| Percent still at Harper | 16.3 | 8.8 | 15.1 | 16.8 | 17.4 | | | | | |

| | After | Remaini Attainin | ng at Ha q Alumni | rper Status | | Alumni Leaving Harper After Attaining Alumni Status | | | | |
|---|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------|---|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| <u>Iducational Goals</u> | <u>1983</u> | <u> 1985</u> | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
| Presently enrolled full time | 34.2 | 23.8 | 27.4 | 30.8 | 28.4 | 64.4 | 50.8 | 60.8 | 60.0 | 63.5 |
| Presently enrolled part time | 65.8 | 76.2 | 72.6 | 64.8 | 69.0 | 4.7 | 9.2 | 8.9 | 11.5 | 7.8 |
| Plan to return to college next year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 13.7 | 10.6 | 10.4 | 12.8 | 11.6 |
| Plan to return to college some day | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.5 | 15.0 | 12.1 | 8.3 | 9.5 |
| Presently enrolled in specific training program | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | 0 | .5 | .5 | .2 | .9 | .9 |
| No plans to continue education | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 4.6 | 7.4 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 1.6 |
| Educational plans unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 | و | 5.6 | - 5.15 | 3.4 | _1.3 | 5.1 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 111 | 42 | 95 | 91 | 116 | 570 | 435 | 530 | 460 | 550 |

<u>Data Section Comparing Alumni Leaving Harper Versus</u> <u>Alumni Remaining at Harper for a Third Year</u> (continued)

| | | | ing at Ha og Alugni | | | | i Leavin <u>Attainin</u> | | Status | |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|
| <u>Graduation Status</u> | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 | 1983 | <u>1985</u> | <u>1987</u> | 1989 | 1991 |
| A.A. Degree | 11.7 | 30.9 | 20.0 | 21.1 | 27.8 | 35.3 | 42.1 | 52.5 | 52.7 | 55.6 |
| A.S. Degree | 5.4 | 14.3 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 4.3 | 22.1 | 20.0 | 16.1 | 13.6 | 11.7 |
| A.A. S. Degree | 2.7 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 1.1 | .9 | 3.0 | 2.8 | .4 | . 8 | 1.1 |
| ALS Degree | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | . 3 | .2 | .2 | 0 | 0 |
| Combination of Degrees | 0 | 3.6 | 1.3 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 3.2 | 1.3 |
| Certificate | .9 | 3.6 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 4.3 | . 2 | . 8 | . 9 | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| No Degree | 19.3 | 45.2 | 62.1 | 65,3 | 62.6 | .31.1 | 31,1 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 29.3 |
| | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 111 | . 42 | 95 | 95 | 115 | 570 | 434 | 547 | 471 | 547 |

| • | | Remaini Attainin | | | | Alumni After 1 | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|-------|--------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|
| Job Information | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | <u> 1991</u> | <u> 1983</u> | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | <u> 1991</u> |
| Business or Finance | 16.1 | 11.9 | 14.6 | 17.5 | 25.5 | 17.1 | 15.3 | 18.3 | 16.2 | 18.8 |
| Office or Clerical | 5.8 | 15.0 | 10.4 | 13.9 | 14.3 | 11.1 | 15.3 | 15.7 | 16.7 | 12.8 |
| Retail Stores - Sales | 10.3 | 7.9 | 9.3 | 3.6 | 5.1 | 9.8 | 10.3 | 10.4 | 9.0 | 6.4 |
| Food Services | 6.9 | 7.9 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 12.0 | 7.8 | 8.5 | 6.0 | 9.6 |
| Sales | 9.2 | 10.5 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 9.2 | 10.4 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 11.0 | 11.9 |
| Unskilled, Custodial | 4.6 | 0 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 7.5 | 6.0 | 5.2 |
| Trades | 6.9 | 2.6 | 8.1 | 8.4 | 5.1 | 5.1 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 4.3 | 4.9 |
| Educational | 5.8 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 7.0 |
| Health Fields | 5.8 | 18.4 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 4.1 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 5.8 |
| Engineering or Related Technology | 4.6 | 11.9 | 6.7 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 3.8 |
| Social or Religious | 4.6 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| Computer Field | 1.1 | 3.2 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 2.1 | 4.6 | 2.6 |
| Factory - Semiskilled | 4.6 | 2.6 | 8.7 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 2.9 |
| Legal, Governmental or Political | 1.1 | 3.2 | 1.1 | 3.6 | 1.0 | 2.7 | . 5 | ,9 | 1.5 | 2.6 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 2.2 | .6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .9 | 1.2 | 0 |
| Communications and Entertainment | 1.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 3.8 | .7 | 1.2 | 1.2 |
| Arts or Humanities | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | .7 | 1.2 | .3 |
| Police, Fire, Security | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 3.4 | .7 | .7 | .9 | 1.2 |
| Transportation | 5.8 | 0 | 0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 1.5 | .4 | 2.2 | .9 |
| Snvironmental or Agricultural | 2.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.4 | .6 | .4 | . 9 | 6 |
| Scientific | 2.3 | _1.4 | _1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 3 | 1.4 | 3 |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 87 | 38 | 89 | 83 | 98 | 292 | 270 | 340 | 327 | 345 |

<u>Data Section Comparing Alumni Leaving Harper Versus</u> <u>Alumni Remaining at Harper for a Third Year</u> (continued)

| Relatedness of Job to Major Field at Harper | Degree of Relat- edness | | | ng at Hai g Alumni | - | | Leaving Attainin | - | Status | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-------|
| | | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 | 1983 | 1985 | <u> 1987</u> | 1989 | 1991 |
| Identical | 3 | 13.8 | 16.3 | 18.0 | 16.9 | 8.2 | 9.9 | 13.0 | 8.6 | 6.8 | 9.6 |
| Closely Related | 2 | 17.2 | 13.2 | 22.5 | 16.9 | 18.6 | 15.4 | 20.0 | 16.4 | 18.6 | 14.3 |
| Somewhat Related | 1 | 18.4 | 39.5 | 18.0 | 18.1 | 23.7 | 17.1 | 24.4 | 21.5 | 19.3 | 23.9 |
| Yot Related | 0 · | 50.6 | 21.0 | 41.5 | 48.2 | 49.5 | 57.6 | 42.6 | 53.5 | 55.3 | 52.2 |
| | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mumber of Response | s | 87 | 38 | 89 | 83 | 97 | 292 | 270 | 344 | 322 | 343 |
| Degree of Relatedr | ess | .94 | 1.45 | 1.17 | 1.02 | .86 | .78 | 1.03 | .80 | .11 | .81 |

| | | Alumni Remaining at Harper | | | | | Alumni Leaving Harper | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Distance | After | <u>Attainin</u> | <u>q Alumni</u> | Status | | After A | <u>ttaining</u> | | <u>Status</u> | |
| Job Location | Index | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | <u> 1989</u> | <u> 1991</u> | 1983 | <u> 1985</u> | <u> 1987</u> | <u> 1989</u> | <u> 1991</u> |
| Downtown Chicago | 2 | 3.4 | 7.9 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 3.4 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 3.4 | 3.2 |
| Outer fringes of Chicago | 1 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 0 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 1.7 |
| Harper District | 0 | 85.1 | 81.6 | 81.3 | 75.6 | 84.7 | 76 9 | . 68.1 | 63.1 | 75.7 | 75.6 |
| Other Chicago Suburbs | 1 | 5.7 | 2.6 | 16.1 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 17.4 | 11.0 | 13.0 |
| Within 40-100 miles | 3 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 1.5 | 2.4 | 0 | 2.5 | 7.2 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 1.7 |
| 100 - 500 miles away | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| More than 500 miles | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4.1 | 1.8 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 3.2 |
| | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | - 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean Distance Index | | . 21 | .37 | .23 | .33 | .16 | . 66 | .53 | .80 | .48 | . 50 |
| Number | | 87 | 38 | 89 | 82 | 98 | 292 | 270 | 345 | 323 | 347 |

| <u>Éducational Data</u> Class Level | Index Level | | i Remaini Attainin | - | - | | | Leaving Attaining | - | Status | , |
|--|----------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|-------|--------------|--------------|
| •: | | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 | 1983 | <u> 1985</u> | 1987 | <u> 1989</u> | <u> 1991</u> |
| Preshman | 1 | 1.8 | 0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 3.7 | .3 | . 8 | . 3 | 1.2 | . 8 |
| Sophomore | 2 | 57.3 | 40.5 | 63.7 | 63.4 | 72.2 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.1 |
| Junior | 3 | 34.5 | 34.5 | 18.7 | 23.2 | 21.3 | 78.9 | 49.4 | 43.2 | 58.2 | 69.4 |
| Senior | 4 | 1.8 | 9.5 | 3.3 | 7.3 | .9 | 13.0 | 43.6 | 50.5 | 38.1 | 27.4 |
| Graduate School | 5 | 1.8 | 11.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | 3.5 | 3.0 | . 3 | . 8 |
| Professional School | 5 | .9 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 1.0 | .8 | .3 | 0 | .5 |
| Other | NI | 1.8 | 3.6 | 12.1 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 0 | | 1.1 | 6 | 0 |
| | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean Index | | 2.41 | 2.93 | 2.31 | 2.38 | 2.20 | 3.11 | 3.49 | 3.55 | 3.35 | 3.27 |
| Number of Response | S | 110 | 42 | 91 | 82 | 108 | 394 | 257 | 368 | 328 | 372 |

Data Section Comparing Alumni Leaving Harper Versus Alumni Remaining at Harper for a Third Year

| • | Alumni Remaining at Harper After Attaining Alumni Status | | | | Alumni Leaving Harper After Attaining Alumni Status | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|--------------|--------------|-------|
| Present Major Field | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | <u> 1991</u> | 1983 | 1985 | <u> 1987</u> | <u> 1989</u> | 1991 |
| Business | 28.9 | 30.9 | 36.2 | 39.9 | 28.2 | 35.6 | 32.2 | 35.5 | 30.6 | 23.1 |
| Education | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 3.8 | 7.6 | 11.3 | 15.8 |
| Other Social Sciences | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 6.5 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 9.6 | 10.4 |
| English, Literature and Speech | 0 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | . 9 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.3 | 8.9 | 6.5 |
| Psychology | 3.6 | 0 | 2.3 | 1.1 | 4.5 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 8.5 | 9.1 |
| Biological Sciences | 3.6 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| Computer Science | 2.7 | 0 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 4.0 | 1.6 |
| Art | 4.5 | 2.4 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 3.9 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| Engineering and Technology | 17.1 | 16.6 | 10.3 | 9.6 | 10.0 | 7.4 | 13.1 | 7.3 | 3.2 | 5.5 |
| Health Fields | 10.8 | 23.8 | 14.4 | 7.4 | 2.7 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 4.9 |
| Humanities and Liberal Arts | 9.0 | 7.1 | 3.4 | 4.3 | 10.9 | 1.8 | 3.5 | 5.8 | 1.5 | 2.1 |
| . Journalism | 2.7 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 6.6 | 3.5 | 3.9 | 1.5 | .5 |
| Law Related | .9 | 0 | 2.3 | .5 | 1.8 | .5 | . 6 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.8 |
| Kath | 2.7 | 0 | 1.1 | 2.1 | . 9 | 1.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | .9 | 1.3 |
| Physical Education and Recreation | 0 | 2.4 | .6 | 1.1 | 0 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 1.6 | .9 | 2.9 |
| Physical Science | 2.7 | 0 | 9.8 | 3.2 | 1.8 | .5 | 1.2 | 1.6 | .9 | 1.0 |
| Music | 4.5 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0 | 2.0 | 1.2 | .1 | . 9 | .5 |
| Food Service | .9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | .5 | . 6 | 0 | .9 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | . 2 | 9.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 2 | ٩, | .3 |
| Language | - 0 | 2.4 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | .1 | 0 | 1.0 | . 6 | 1.3 |
| Architecture | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 | 1.8 | .5 | .4 | .7 | .6 | 1.0 |
| Home Economics | 0 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.2 | .4 | . 3 |
| Agriculture and Conservation | 0 | 2.4 | 0 | 1.1 | 0. | 3 | لىل | <u> </u> | 0 | 0 |
| • | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number of Responses | 111 | 42 | 87 | 56 | 110 | 393 | 256 | 368 | 331 | 385 |



| Unskilled Labor Semiskilled Technician, Skilled Labor or Foreman Beginning Professional Experienced Professional or | 1 2 3 | 1983 8.1 34.9 18.6 | 1985 - 2.7 31.1 | 1987 11.5 27.6 | <u>1989</u> 6.1 | 1991 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
|---|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Semiskilled Technician, Skilled Labor or Foreman Beginning Professional Experienced Professional or | 3 | 34.9 | | | 6.1 | | | | | | |
| Technician, Skilled Labor or Foreman Beginning Professional Experienced Professional or | 3 | | 31.1 | 27 (| | 7.9 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 14.6 | 7.9 | 8.7 |
| or Foreman Beginning Professional - Experienced Professional or | | 18.6 | | 21.0 | 31.7 | 40.4 | 27.4 | 24.7 | 25.2 | 34.0 | 40.3 |
| - Experienced Professional or | 4 | | 13.5 | 16.1 | 19.5 | 18.0 | 16.1 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 15.9 | 12.8 |
| - | 1 | 26.7 | 31.1 | 31.0 | 35.4 | 25.8 | 38.4 | . 43.8 | 34.9 | 32.7 | 29,3 |
| Second Line Supervisor | 5 | 10.5 | 21.6 | 10.3 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 9.9 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 7.9 | 7.2 |
| Creative Scientist, Researche or Upper Middle Management | r 6 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | .3 | .8 | .6 | 1.6 | 1.2 |
| Vice President or Higher | 7 | 100.0 | 0 100.0 | 3.4 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | ., <u>7</u> 100.0 | 100.0 | <u>2.2</u> 100.0 | 100.0 | <u>.6</u> 100.0 |
| Rumber of Responses | | 86 | 37 | 87 | 82 | 89 | 292 | 265 | 335 | 315 | 335 |
| Mean Index Level | | 3.00 | 3.38 | 3.15 | 3.06 | 2.87 | 3.20 | 3.24 | 3.05 | 3.03 | 2.92 |
| | | <u>Alumni</u> Alumn | Remaini) i Remain | | per for arper | Leaving a Third I | <u>ear</u> (co Alumn | | | Status | |
| Annual Salary | | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 | 1983 | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
| Under \$7,000 \$ 7,000 to \$ 8,999 | | 9.1 14.3 | 0 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 0 | 6.7 9.3 | 3.4 1.7 | 1.2 | 2.9 | .1 |
| \$ 9,000 to \$ 9,999 \$10,000 to \$11,999 \$12,000 to \$14,999 | | 9.1 10.4 16.9 | 7.2 21.4 | 0) 3.8} 13.2} | 4.2) } 22.9} | | 8.5 18.1 20.0 | 3.4 13.6 17.8 | 1.7} 9.2} 16.8} | | 1.4 |
| \$15,000 to \$19,999 | | 18.1 | 21.4 | 22.6 | 12.5 | 45.7 | 18.9 | 26.3 | 26.6 | 25.5 | 35.2 |
| \$20,000 to \$24,999 \$25,000 to \$29,999 | | 11.7 7.8 | 21.4 7.2 | 28.3 17.0 | 22.9 14.6 | 20.0 5.7 | 10.7 4.4 | 23.7 5.9 | 18.5 9.2 | 24.8 10.9 | 22.1 11.7 |
| \$30,000 to \$39,999 | | 2.6 | 1.2 | 7.5 | 8.3 | 17.1 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 11.0 | 12.4 | 10.3 |
| \$40,000 to \$49,999 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8.3 | 0 | .4 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2.8 |
| \$50,000 to \$59,999 | | 0 | 0 | 3.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 2.8 |
| Over \$60,000 | | 100.0 | $\frac{0}{100.0}$ | $\frac{0}{100.0}$ | $\frac{0}{100.0}$ | 100.0 | 100.U | $\frac{0}{100.0}$ | $\frac{0}{100.0}$ | 100.0 | $\frac{1.4}{100.0}$ |
| Nean Salary | | \$14760 | \$20643 | \$22075 | \$21552 | \$21,500 | \$14683 | \$17750 | \$20364 | \$21719 | \$23169 |
| Median Salary | | \$12808 | \$20000 | \$21000 | \$20682 | \$19063 | \$13111 | \$16774 | \$18369 | \$20294 | \$20156 |
| Mumber | | 77 | 14 | 53 | 48 | - 35 | 270 | 118 | 173 | 137 | 145 |



| Degree of Relatedness of Present Major to | Relat- edness | Alumni Remaining at Harper After Attaining Alumni Status | | | | Alumni Leaving Harper After 'Staining Alumni Status | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--|-------|------------|-------------|---|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Major at Harper | Index | <u> 1983</u> | 1985 | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 | 1983 | <u> 1985</u> | 1987 | 1989 | 1991 |
| Identical | 3 | 68.3 | 43.5 | 72.7 | 75.0 | 22.2 | 41.1 | 37.8 | 34.3 | 39.9 | 40.1 |
| Closely Related | 2 | 13.4 | 33.9 | 8.0 | 10.7 | 33 3 | 27.4 | 28.6 | 25,3 | 23.9 | 21.2 |
| Somewhat Related | 1 | 5.8 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 1.8 | 33.3 | 20.6 | 19.7 | 23.6 | 20.8 | 21.0 |
| Not Related | 0 | 12.5 | 12.9 | 10.2 | 12.5 | 11.1 | _10.9 | 13.9 | 16.9 | 15.4 | 17.7 |
| | | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Mean Relatedness Number of Respon | | 2.38 | 2.08 | 3.07 88 | 3.21 56 | 1.56 | 1.99 394 | 1.90 259 | 1.88 356 | 2.07 331 | 2.03 372 |

Data Section Comparing Alumni Leaving Harper Versus Alumni Remaining at Harper for a Third Year

| | Alumni Remaining at Harper | | | | | Alumni Leaving Harper After Attaining Alumni Status | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|--|
| | | ttaining | | | | | | | | 1001 | |
| Cost of Present College Less than \$500 | <u>1983</u> 30.4 | 1985 15.0 | 1987 46.4 | 1989 55.4 | 1991 32.3 | 1983 1.9 | 1985 2.8 | 1987 2.0 | 1989 2.8 | 1991 1.8 | |
| \$ 500 to \$ 1,000 | 38.2 | 40.0 | 26.2 | 21.7 | 25.8 | .8 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 1.8 | |
| \$ 1,000 to \$ 1,500 | 18.6 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 16.1 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.4 | |
| \$ 1,500 to \$ 2,000 | 6.9 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 0 | 3.1 | 5.0 | 3.2 | 1.9 | 3.6 | |
| \$ 2,000 to \$ 2,500 | 2.9 | 10.0 | 2.4 | 0 | 12.9 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 4.8 | |
| \$ 2,500 to \$ 3,000 | 0 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 1.2 | 0 | 8.4 | 2.8 | 4.7 | 5.0 | €.5 | |
| \$ 3,000 to \$ 4,000 | 2.0 | 0 | 4.8 | 3.6 | 0 | 16.7 | 12.8 | 8.8 | 6.2 | 8.9 | |
| \$ 4,000 to \$ 5,000 | 0 | 10.0 | . 0 | 0 | 6.5 | 21.7 | 17.7 | 11.7 | 7.8 | 3.6 | |
| \$ 5,000 to \$ 6,000 | 0 | .0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 20.1 | 18.4 | 17.5 | 13.7 | 10.7 | |
| \$ 6,000 to \$ 7,000 | 1.0 | 5.0 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 6.5 | 16.3 | 16.4 | 16.1 | 7.7 | |
| \$ 7,000 to \$ 8,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.2 | 3.6 | 9.9 | 13.4 | 17.3 | |
| \$ 8,000 to \$10,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3.2 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 8.2 | 10.6 | 10.1 | |
| \$10,000 to \$12,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | Q | 2.6 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 10.7 | |
| \$12,000 to \$15,000 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 0 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 5.3 | 6.0 | |
| \$15,000 to \$20,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.2 | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | . 6 | 1.9 | 3.0 | |
| Over \$20,000 | 100.0 | <u>0</u> 100.0 | 100.0 | <u>0</u> | <u> </u> | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | $\frac{1.2}{100.0}$ | |
| Mean Annual Cost Number of Responses | \$ 929 102 | \$1695 20 | \$1184 84 | \$1274 83 | \$1863 31 | \$4715 383 | \$5165 141 | \$5776 342 | \$6217 322 | \$6854 168 | |

APPENDIX

Survey Instrument

- With Cover Letter



Spring 1992

Dear Alumnus:

We are following every alumnus (48 credit hours or more) of Harper College, and we would like to know what you are now doing and your evaluation of Harper. We would like to secure this information again in three and five years after you leave Harper College.

In order for Harper properly to evaluate itself and maintain itself as a quality institution, it is essential that the College have good information on former students. Any information you supply will be kept strictly confidential and will be used only in combination with responses from other students. The number on the survey enables us to take your name off the mailing list when your completed response is received. This saves the cost of unnecessary mailings and saves you the bother of receiving a second copy of the survey in addition to a follow-up telephone call.

We greatly appreciate your response to this questionnaire. When you have completed the survey, please fold booklet with Harper College return address on the outside, staple or tape closed, and mail.

Very truly yours,

John a ducas

John A. Lucas, Director Office of Planning and Institutional Research This number identifies you. When we receive your completed survey, we will remove your name from the mailing list so you will not be bothered with a second mailing of this survey or a follow-up telephone call.

TA/92



BUSINESS REPLY MAIL

FIRST CLASS

PERMIT NO. 182

PALATINE, ILLINOIS

POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY ADDRESSEE

WILLIAM RAINEY HARPER COLLEGE OFFICE OF PLANNING AND RESEARCH 1200 W ALGONQUIN ROAD PALATINE IL 60067-9987 NO POSTAGE
NECESSARY
IF MAILED
IN THE
UNITED STATES



Harper College Alumni Follow-Up Questionnaire

| lddre | Soc | elephone |
|-------------|---|---|
| | | |
| This c | questionnaire contains the following sections: General Status, Employment, Present Education ar | nd Evaluation of your experience at Harper College. |
| | the following items carefully and for each make a $(\mbox{$arksigma$})$ on the linem unless otherwise stated. | e beside the most appropriate alternative. Mark ONLY ONE alternative |
| Gene | eral Status | |
| 1. | Major field while at Harper 2. | What is your present status? |
| | A. ArchitectureB. ArtC. Biological ScienceD. BusinessE. CommunicationsF. EducationG. EngineeringH. Humanities and Liberal ArtsI. MathematicsJ. MusicK. Physical Education and RecreationL. Physical ScienceM. Pre-LawN. Pre-MedicalO. PsychologyP. Other Social ScienceQ. Undecided | |
| · 3. | What are your present educational goals? | 4. Did you graduate from Harper? (Mark any degree earned) |
| | A. I am presently enrolled full time in a college or university. (This includes Harper) B. I am presently enrolled part time in a college or university. (This includes Harper) C. I plan within the next year to return to college. D. I plan to return to college some day. E. I am presently enrolled in a specific training program. F. I have no plans at present to continue my education. G. My education plans are unknown at this point. | A. Yes, with AA degreeB. Yes, with AS degreeC. Yes, with AAS degreeD. Yes, with ALS degreeE. Yes, with this combination of degreesF. Yes, with certificateG. No |
| 5. | If you did not receive a degree from Harper, indicate why you di | d not |
| | A. I am still enrolled at HarperB. I have movedC. Family responsibilitiesD. Job demandE. New job opportunityF. Personal problemsC. I saw no relationship between the courses and my personal, occupational or educational goalsH. I have not met all the requirements yetI. I had taken all courses I wanted to take | |
| r ~ | mployment | |
| | | |
| If y | you are employed full time or part time, please answer the following | |
| | Name and Address of Employer | |



| u. | now would you classify your present occupat | | | olice/Fire/Security | | |
|-----|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | A. Social or Religious | | L Co | ommunication or Ent | ertainment | |
| | B. Educational | | M. M | edical Fields | | |
| | C. Legal, Governmental or Political | | N. Aı | rts or Humanities | | |
| | D. Sales | | O. Tr | ades | | |
| | E. Business or Finance | | | actory Semi-Skilled | | |
| | F. Scientific | | | ffice or Clerical | • | |
| | | | | | | |
| | G. Engineering/Related technology | | | ood Service | | |
| | H. Retail Store Sales | | | ransportation | | |
| | I. Unskilled Labor/Custodial | | T. A | gricultural or Environ | mental | |
| | | | U. O | ther (specify) | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 7. | How related is your present job to your major | r field at Hamer? | 8. Which of | the following items b | est describes you | ar employment |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | status? | _ | • | |
| | A. They are identical | | | | | |
| | B. They are closely related | | | nskilled labor | | |
| | C. They are somewhat related | | B. S | emi-skilled labor | | |
| | D. They are not related | | C. To | echnicia <mark>n, skilled</mark> lab | or or foreman | |
| | | | | eginning professiona | | |
| | | | | xperienced profession | | supervision |
| | | | | reative scientist or re | | |
| | | | | | searcher or uppe | illiouic |
| | | | | nanagement | 1 + -1 | |
| | | | G. V | ice president level or | higher | |
| | | | | | | • |
| 9. | If your present job is part time, indicate why | . Check (🖊) one. | | employed full time, p | olease check (🛩) | your annual |
| | h. F | | salary. | | | |
| | A. I prefer to work part-time. | . 6.11 | A II | inder \$7,000 per year | or \$3.36 per hou | • |
| | B. I could not find a full-time job in m | y neid and a part- | | TOOO & O OOO | oi #3.30 pei 1100 | |
| | time job is the only way I could wor | | | 7,000-\$ 9,999 per yea | | |
| | C. I could not find any full-time job an | d this part-time | | 10,000-\$14,999 per y | | |
| | job was my next best alternative. | | | 15,000-\$19,999 per y | | |
| | D. This part-time job is temporary while | e I attend college | | 20,000-\$24,999 per y | | |
| | or am in a transitional part of my lif | | F. \$ | 25,000-\$29,999 per y | ear or \$12.03-14 | 42 per hour |
| | E. Other reason why I am working part | | G. \$ | 30,000-\$39,999 per y | ear or \$14.43-19 | .22 per hour |
| | Other reason why talk world par | | | 40,000-\$49,999 per y | | |
| | | | | 50,000-\$59,999 per y | | |
| | • | | | Over \$60,000 per year | | |
| | | • | | 51C: 400,000 pc. 702 | 0. 120,00 pt | |
| | | ** ** | | C | . (44) 41 | winda anlumam hu |
| 11. | Where is your job located? | | Harper prepare yo | u for your job? Check | () the approp | nate column by |
| | A. Downtown Chicago | each item. | | | | |
| | B. Outer fringes of Chicago city | | | Harper Helped | Harner Helped | Harper Did Not |
| | limits | Preparation | | Me Considerably | | Help Me at All |
| | C. Northwest Suburban Area | | imaladda | Me Considerably | Ne comewhat | neip rie at the |
| | | A. Specific job | | | | |
| | (Barrington, Hoffman Estates, | B. Technical jo | | | | |
| | Schaumburg, Palatine, Rolling | | munication skills | · | | |
| | Meadows, Arlington Heights, | D. Verbal comm | nunication skills | | | |
| | Wheeling, Buffalo Grove, Elk | E. Person-to-pe | erson communi- | | | |
| | Grove, Mt. Prospect, Prospect | cation skills | | | | |
| | Heights) | F. Managerial | skills | ************************************** | • | |
| | D. Other Chicago area suburbs | G. Maturity and | d self-confidence | | | |
| | E. Within 49-100 miles of Chicago | H. Broadened | | | | |
| | F. In Illinois, more than 100 miles | | jy) | | | |
| | from Chicago | r, Other (specia | <i></i> | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | G. Outside of Illinois (specify) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | II did God i-kh | | | | | |
| 13. | How did you find your job? | | I. I am working | g on the same job I h | ad since I first e | nrolled at Harper. |
| | A. The coordinator of my program help | | J. Another coll | | | |
| | B. Another faculty member helped. | | K. Internship p | | | |
| | C. A friend or relative helped me. | | | nal association's plac | ement service | |
| | | | | nporary employment | | |
| | D. Newspaper ads | | N. Employer fo | | | |
| | E. Placement Office at Harper | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | F. Employment Agency | | | b through another so | | |
| | G. Placement office at another college | or high school | | ob through another so | | |
| | | or high school | | | | |



| 14. | In which college or university are you enrolled? | | 15. Which of the following best describes your status? |
|-----|--|--|---|
| | A. Northern IllinoisB. University of Ill., ChicagoC. University of Ill ChampaignD. Western IllinoisE. Southern IllinoisF. HarperG. Illinois StateH. LoyolaI. RooseveltJ. Ill. Institute of TechnologyK. University of lowa | L. Columbia CollegeM. BradleyN. Northeastern IllinoisO. MarquetteP. ElmhurstQ. DePaulR. University of Wis., MadisonS. Trinity CollegeT. Arizona State UniversityU. Other (specify) | A. FreshmanB. SophomoreC. JuniorD. SeniorE. Graduate SchoolF. Professional SchoolG. Other (specify) |
| 16. | What is your major field? | | 17. How related is your present major to |
| | A. Agriculture and ConservationB. ArchitectureC. ArtD. Biological ScienceE. BusinessF. EducationG. Engineering | N. Medical FieldO. MusicP. Physical Education and RecreationQ. Physical ScienceR. PsychologyS. Other Social ScienceT. Journalism | your major at Harper? A. They are identicalB. They are closely relatedC. They are somewhat relatedD. They are not related |
| | H. English/Literature/Speech L. Home Economics J. Humanities and Liberal Arts K. Language L. Law M. Mathematics | U. Computer ScienceV. Food ServiceW. UndecidedX. Other (specify) | |
| 18. | What portion of the courses which you took at Harper were you able to transfer? If you do not know for sure, make the best guess you can. A | A. Changed majorsB. Changed my m transferC. Did not investig was at Harper CD. Was given misteE. Other (specify)_ | ot transfer, give reasons why. Check () all that apply at Harper or upon transfer ind while at Harper about college to which I would gate carefully enough the transfer requirements while I clege ading transfer information while at Harper |
| 20. | What is your approximate cumulative g 4.0 basis at the college you now attend? GPA. | Check (▶) the closest attend ye | ch does it cost you (or your family) per year for you to our present college? Include tuition, books, room and id personal expenses. Check () one. |
| • | A4.03.83.6 B3.02.82.6 C2.01.81.6 D1.0Less than 1.0 | 2.4 | I. \$ 5,000-\$6,000 5 500-\$1,000J. \$ 6,000-\$7,000 51,000-\$1,500K. \$ 7,000-\$8,000 51,500-\$2,000L. \$ 8,000-\$10,000 52,000-\$2,500M. \$10,000-\$12,000 |
| 21. | At your present college, have you participaring or honor society which you feel a perhaps should be offered at Harper? If so | pated in any honors pro- re of benefit to you and p, please describe Did anyth to your | \$2,500-\$3,000N. \$12,000-\$15,000 \$3,000-\$4,000O. \$15,000-\$20,000 \$4,000-\$5,000P. over \$20,000 thing that happened to you at Harper make the transfer present college easier or more difficult? If so, please |
| | | | |



| Eval | luation Items : | | <u> </u> | | · |
|------|--|---|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 23. | while at Harper of blank preceding college. 1-Excellent 2-Very good 3-Average | following aspects of college as you knew them College. Place the appropriate number on the the aspect for both Harper and your present 4-Borderline effectiveness 5-Poor or ineffective 6-Did not use 7-Unaware of such a service Now Attending | · | P. Q. | Library Learning atmosphere Other students Veterans' Office Tutoring Center Women's Program Remedial-Developmental courses Disabled/Handicapped services |
| 24. | | Admissions program Records and registration Student activities (lectures, concerts, films, clubs, social events, student government, publications, game area) Personal counseling with counselors Placement Office Financial Aid Health Service Intercollegiate athletics | | V. W. X. Z. AA. BB | Course/PSY 108 College survival skills course/PSY 106 Workshops or special programs sponsored by Student Development College Days/Nights — Visit from 4-year university representatives Computer resources |
| | all that apply. | and at happy have seen of most sensite of a | Most Benefit | o ,o. | Least Benefit |
| | A. Anthr | | | | |
| | B. Archi | tecture | | | |
| | C. Art | | | | |
| | D. Astro | | | | |
| | E. Biolo | | | | |
| | F. Caree G. Chem | er Planning and Development | | | |
| | | Development | | | |
| | | Processing | | | |
| | | omics | | | |
| | K. Educ | ation | | | |
| | L Engli | ish | | | |
| | | ion Design | | | |
| | | gn Language | | | |
| | O. Gene P. Geolo | ral Business | | | <u></u> |
| | Q. Histo | - - | | | |
| | | an Potential Seminar | | | |
| | S. Hum | anities | | ر. | |
| | T. Liter | | | | |
| | | ematics | | | · ——— |
| | V. Mech W. Musi | nanical Engineering | | | |
| | | osophy | | | . |
| | | ical Education and Recreation | | | · |
| | | ical Science | | | |
| | AA. Phys | | | | · |
| | BB. Polit | | | | |
| | CC. Psyc | | | | |
| | | etarial Science | | | |
| | EE. Socie | | | | |
| | GG. Ling | | | | |
| | | nuter Science | | | |



| 25. | Are there courses which, had they been inclucurriculum, would have benefited you now o college, or in your community? Please specifications are community? | n your job, y: | in | Building F) of help to (*) those that apply. A. I very seldomB. I found the CC. It was easy to | sources Center (first and second floor, or you while attending Harper? Check or never used the Center. Center a good place to study. or find and check out almost all the books |
|-----|---|-----------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | section was v | ual material available in the media very helpful. getting most of the material I needed. |
| | | | | F. Other | |
| | emic Computer Usage | | | | (cd) the leasting you have used |
| 27. | Have you ever used a computer terminal or a microcomputer at Harper in conjunction with a course? Check () one. A. Yes — oftenB. Yes — a few timesC. No — never | A B C D E | Mainframe to Building I S. Word proces Building I S. Micro lab in Micro lab in C. Instructiona terminals in Microcompu | erminals in sing micros in Building I Building J al computer | term the location you have used. _H. Microcomputer lab(s) at Northeast Center _I. CAD/CAM Center in Building H _J. Other CAD related lab in Building H _K. Microcomputers in Building C _L. Microcomputers in Building F _M. Microcomputers elsewhere (specify) |
| 29. | In which courses have you used microcomputers or computer terminals? Check (*) all those that are appropriate. | pı | uter terminals A. No | while at Harper College | etting access to the microcomputers or com- |
| | A. Data Processing | _ | D. IES (Spe | | |
| | B. Physics C. Chemistry | | | | |
| | D. Word Processing | | | | to believe in your present situation? |
| | E. Math or Statistics | | _ | = | ls helped you in your present situation? |
| | F. Engineering Tech G. Electronics | | | | |
| | H. Music | | B. No, it h | nas not helped me (expla | in) |
| | I. Art J. Other (specify) | | C I did no | ot use the computer or t | erminals at Harper. |
| | | _ | | or all companies of | |
| ٥. | 1 | | | : | • |
| | | | | | |
| 32. | How many times did you change your educational or vocational goals as a result attending Harper? | | Also, if you what serviceA. CouB. Care | s you used. nseling | H. Magazine articles and job opportunity ads I made decision all by myself without help |
| | A. More than one major change | | | ement Office | J. Four-year college literature and |
| | B. One major changeC. Made only minor change(s) | | D. Talk | with faculty with friends or relatives | catalogs 5K. Other (specify) |
| | D. I am not sure I made a change E. Never | | | cific course helped me | |
| 34. | Did you use academic advising services at Harper in making your educational plans? Check (🛩) one. | 35. | A. Stu | as your advisor? ident Development | 36. Did you apply for financial aid or veterans' benefits while at Harper? |
| | A Yes B No | | B. Tea | ulty member (counselor) sching faculty member ormation Specialist | B. No — I did not require financial assistance |
| | If Yes, was the advising received from a counselor helpful? | | | | C. No — I did not think I qualified |
| | C Yes (explain) | _ | | | |
| | D No (explain) | - - - | | | |
| 37. | did you receive any aid or benefits? | benefits, | 38. | If you applied for finan satisfied were you with Financial Aid Office? | icial aid or veterans' benefits, how the services received from the |
| | A. Yes B. No | | | A. Very satisfied | C. Neutral |
| 0 | | | | B. Satisfied 5 | D. Dissatisfied |

| 39. | Check which student activities or non-classroom experiences were | of most or least | benefit to you. If not applicable, leave blank. Least Benefit | |
|-----|--|---------------------------------|--|------|
| | A. Guest speakers | | | |
| | B. Concerts | | | |
| | C. Films | | | |
| | | | | |
| | D. Clubs | | | |
| | E. Intramural sports | | | |
| | F. Varsity athletics | | | |
| | G. Harbinger | | | |
| | H. Radio station — WHCM | | | |
| | I. Mini courses | | | |
| | J. Human potential seminars | | | |
| | K. Point of View | | | |
| | L. Field trips | | | |
| | M. Studio theater | | | |
| | N. Student Senate, Program Board, etc. | | | |
| | O. Band, orchestra or choral group | | · | |
| | P. Pom pon squad | | | |
| | Q. Peer counseling | | · | |
| | R. Other (specify) | | | |
| | R. Other (specify) | | | |
| 40. | Make any other comments you wish to make concerning Harper | College. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | _ | |
| 41 | Would you recommend Harper to someone else? | | | |
| 41. | would you recommend riarper to someone else: | | | |
| | A. Definitely, yes | | | |
| | B. Not sure | | | |
| | C. Definitely, no | | | |
| | | | | |
| 42. | If you could change one thing about Harper, what would it be?_ | | | |
| | - | | | |
| | | | | |
| Hai | per Experiences | | | |
| 43. | The state of the s | How would y | ou describe this work? | |
| | Harper? | A. It wa | is identical to what I am now doing. | |
| | A. I did not work | B It wa | is related or beneficial to what I am now doing. | |
| | B. 1 to 5 hours | C It wa | is of little benefit to what I am now doing except | |
| | | o. it we | it helped pay my way through college. | |
| | C. 6 to 10 hours | tilat | it helped pay my way through conege. | |
| | D. 11 to 15 hours | | | |
| | E. 16 to 20 hours | | | |
| | F. 21 to 25 hours | | | |
| | G. 26 to 30 hours | | • | |
| | H. Over 30 hours | | | |
| | 11. Over 30 flours | | | |
| 45 | . How many house nor week did you spand is student activities | 46. Ho | w many hours per week did you spend socializing suc | n as |
| 40. | How many hours per week did you spend in student activities | in | informal sessions in the cafeteria or lounge, playing co | ırds |
| | or non-classroom experiences such as student publications, | | pool, etc.? | |
| | government, clubs, sports, etc.? | . 01 | • • | |
| | A. I did not participate. | | A. Less than one hour | |
| | B. 1 to 3 hours per week | _ | B. 1 to 6 hours | |
| | C. 4 to 7 hours per week | | C. 7 to 12 hours | |
| | | | D. 12 to 20 hours | |
| | D. 8 to 12 hours per week | | E. Over 20 hours | |
| | E. Over 12 hours per week | | | |
| | | | | |

Thank you for participating in this survey. When you have completed all questions, please refold this form with the Harper College address panel on the outside, tape or staple together, and drop form in the mail.



Address Correction Requested

Important Mail Please Read

Monprofit Organization
U.S. Postage
Paid
Palatine, Illinois
Permit No. 54

William Rainey Harper College Office of Planning and Research 1200 West Algonquin Road Palatine, Illinois 60067-7398



When It Comes to Harper, You're the Expert

Your Expert Opinion Is
Important to Harper
Please Complete and Return
This Survey

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Operational Staff

Janice Cook, Administrative Secretary .

Karla Hill, Research Clerk

Salome Joseph, Clerk Donna Woodruff, Clerk Susannah Swift, Clerk Linda Goers, Clerk Karen Holmer, Clerk



